


READING FREE THE MORAL ANIMAL WHY WE ARE THE WAY WE ARE .PDF

IN A PATHBREAKING REVELATORY BOOK ROBERT WRIGHT SENIOR EDITOR AT THE NEW REPUBLIC DEMONSTRATES THE RELEVANCE OF EVOLUTIONARY PSYCHOLOGY TO EVERYDAY LIFE AND CHALLENGES US TO SEE OURSELVES FOR BETTER OR WORSE UNDER ITS CLARIFYING LENS ONE OF THE MOST PROVOCATIVE SCIENCE BOOKS EVER PUBLISHED A FEAST OF GREAT THINKING AND WRITING ABOUT THE MOST PROFOUND ISSUES THERE ARE THE NEW YORK TIMES BOOK REVIEW FIERCELY INTELLIGENT BEAUTIFULLY WRITTEN AND ENGROSSINGLY ORIGINAL THE NEW YORK TIMES BOOK REVIEW ARE MEN LITERALLY BORN TO CHEAT DOES MONOGAMY ACTUALLY SERVE WOMEN S INTERESTS THESE ARE AMONG THE QUESTIONS THAT HAVE MADE THE MORAL ANIMAL ONE OF THE MOST PROVOCATIVE SCIENCE BOOKS IN RECENT YEARS WRIGHT UNVEILS THE GENETIC STRATEGIES BEHIND EVERYTHING FROM OUR SEXUAL PREFERENCES TO OUR OFFICE POLITICS AS WELL AS THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR OUR MORAL CODES AND PUBLIC POLICIES ILLUSTRATIONS THE MORAL ANIMAL EXAMINES THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS EXTRAORDINARY SHIFT IN OUR PERCEPTION OF MORALITY AND WHAT IT MEANS TO BE HUMAN TAKING THE LIFE OF CHARLES DARWIN AS HIS CONTEXT ROBERT WRIGHT BRILLIANTLY DEMONSTRATES HOW DARWIN S IDEAS HAVE STOOD THE TEST OF TIME DRAWING STARTLING CONCLUSIONS ABOUT THE STRUCTURE OF SOME OF OUR MOST BASIC PREOCCUPATIONS WHY DO WE COMMIT ADULTERY EXPRESS SUICIDAL TENDENCIES AND HAVE THE CAPACITY FOR SELF DECEPTION WRIGHT NOT ONLY PROVIDES THE ANSWERS TO SUCH FUNDAMENTAL MORAL QUESTIONS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF EVOLUTIONARY PSYCHOLOGY BUT CHALLENGES US TO SEE OURSELVES ANEW THROUGH THE CLARIFYING LENS OF THIS FLEDGLING AND EXCITING SCIENCE LEARN HOW EVOLUTIONARY PSYCHOLOGY CAN EXPLAIN HUMAN BEHAVIOR HUMAN BEINGS HAVE OFTEN SAID THAT OUR MORAL COMPASS IS WHAT SETS US APART FROM OTHER ANIMALS WE ARE HUMANS BECAUSE WE KNOW WE HAVE A CONSCIENCE AND AN ABILITY TO IDENTIFY THE MORALLY RIGHT THING TO DO THE MORAL ANIMAL 1994 EXPOUNDS ON THIS BELIEF BY UNPACKING THE TENETS OF EVOLUTIONARY PSYCHOLOGY DO YOU WANT MORE FREE BOOK SUMMARIES LIKE THIS DOWNLOAD OUR APP FOR FREE AT QUICKREAD COM APP AND GET ACCESS TO HUNDREDS OF FREE BOOK AND AUDIOBOOK SUMMARIES DISCLAIMER THIS BOOK SUMMARY IS MEANT AS A SUMMARY AND AN ANALYSIS AND NOT A REPLACEMENT FOR THE ORIGINAL WORK IF YOU LIKE THIS SUMMARY PLEASE CONSIDER PURCHASING THE ORIGINAL BOOK TO GET THE FULL EXPERIENCE AS THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR INTENDED IT TO BE IF YOU ARE THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR OF ANY BOOK PUBLISHED ON QUICKREAD AND WANT US TO REMOVE IT PLEASE CONTACT US AT HELLO QUICKREAD COM PLEASE NOTE THIS IS A COMPANION VERSION NOT THE ORIGINAL BOOK SAMPLE BOOK INSIGHTS 1 THE VICTORIAN ERA WAS A TIME OF SEXUAL REPRESSION AS VICTORIAN PHYSICIANS WARNED AGAINST THE DANGERS OF SEXUAL INDULGENCE FOR BOYS HOWEVER THIS OUTLOOK ALSO SPREAD BEYOND THE WALLS OF THE METHODIST CHURCHES INTO THE HOMES OF ANGLICANS UNITARIANS AND EVEN AGNOSTICS 2 CHARLES DARWIN WAS ORIGINALLY GOING TO BE A DOCTOR BUT HE BECAME INTERESTED IN ZOOLOGY INSTEAD HIS FATHER HOWEVER WANTED HIM TO JOIN THE CLERGY 3 THE IDEA OF NATURAL SELECTION WHILE INDEED SWEEPING IN SIGNIFICANCE IS NOT REALLY MASSIVE IN STRUCTURE IT IS A SMALL AND SIMPLE THEORY IT DOESN T TAKE A HUGE INTELLECT TO CONCEIVE IT 4 THE PROCESS OF NATURAL SELECTION IS WHAT MAKES US WHAT WE ARE TODAY IT IS THE PROCESS OF CHOOSING THE FITTEST MEMBERS OF A SPECIES AND DESTROYING THE LESS FIT ONES SCIENTISTS HAVE LONG COUNSELED AGAINST INTERPRETING ANIMAL BEHAVIOR IN TERMS OF HUMAN EMOTIONS WARNING THAT SUCH ANTHROPOMORPHIZING LIMITS OUR ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND ANIMALS AS THEY REALLY ARE YET WHAT ARE WE TO MAKE OF A FEMALE GORILLA IN A GERMAN ZOO WHO SPENT DAYS MOURNING THE DEATH OF HER BABY OR A WILD FEMALE ELEPHANT WHO CARED FOR A YOUNGER ONE AFTER SHE WAS INJURED BY A RAMBUNCTIOUS TEENAGE MALE OR A RAT WHO REFUSED TO PUSH A LEVER FOR FOOD WHEN HE SAW THAT DOING SO CAUSED ANOTHER RAT TO BE SHOCKED AREN T THESE CLEAR SIGNS THAT ANIMALS HAVE RECOGNIZABLE EMOTIONS AND MORAL INTELLIGENCE WITH WILD JUSTICE MARC BEKOFF AND JESSICA PIERCE UNEQUIVOCALLY ANSWER YES MARRYING YEARS OF BEHAVIORAL AND COGNITIVE RESEARCH WITH COMPELLING AND MOVING ANECDOTES BEKOFF AND PIERCE REVEAL THAT ANIMALS EXHIBIT A BROAD REPERTOIRE OF MORAL BEHAVIORS INCLUDING FAIRNESS EMPATHY TRUST AND RECIPROCITY UNDERLYING THESE BEHAVIORS IS A COMPLEX AND NUANCED RANGE OF EMOTIONS BACKED BY A HIGH DEGREE OF INTELLIGENCE AND SURPRISING BEHAVIORAL FLEXIBILITY ANIMALS IN SHORT ARE INCREDIBLY ADEPT SOCIAL BEINGS RELYING ON RULES OF CONDUCT TO NAVIGATE INTRICATE SOCIAL NETWORKS THAT ARE ESSENTIAL TO THEIR SURVIVAL ULTIMATELY BEKOFF AND PIERCE DRAW THE ASTONISHING CONCLUSION THAT THERE IS NO MORAL GAP BETWEEN HUMANS AND OTHER SPECIES MORALITY IS AN EVOLVED TRAIT THAT WE UNQUESTIONABLY SHARE WITH OTHER SOCIAL MAMMALS SURE TO BE CONTROVERSIAL WILD JUSTICE OFFERS NOT JUST CUTTING EDGE SCIENCE BUT A PROVOCATIVE CALL TO RETHINK OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH AND OUR RESPONSIBILITIES TOWARD OUR FELLOW ANIMALS THIS BOOK CRITICIZES THE COMMON BELIEF THAT WE ARE ENTITLED TO EXPLOIT ANIMALS FOR OUR BENEFIT BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT AS RATIONAL AS PEOPLE AFTER DISCUSSING THE MORAL IN SIGNIFICANCE OF REASON IN GENERAL THE AUTHOR PROCEEDS TO DEVELOP A CLEAR COMMONSENSICAL CONCEPTION OF WHAT ANIMAL RIGHTS IS ABOUT AND WHY EVERYDAY MORALITY POINTS TOWARD THE LIBERATION OF ANIMALS AS THE NEXT LOGICAL STEP IN WESTERN MORAL PROGRESS THE BOOK EVALUATES CRITICISMS OF ANIMAL RIGHTS THAT HAVE APPEARED IN RECENT PHILOSOPHICAL LITERATURE AND EXPLAINS THE CONSEQUENCES OF ANIMAL LIBERATION FOR OUR DIET SCIENCE AND TREATMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT THE ISSUE OF ANIMAL RIGHTS HAS BECOME OF INCREASING PHILOSOPHICAL AND POPULAR IMPORTANCE OVER THE PAST DECADE MORALS REASON AND ANIMALS IS THE FIRST EXTENSIVE SECOND GENERATION CONTRIBUTION TO THIS DEBATE FOCUSING EXCLUSIVELY ON THE FUNDAMENTAL PHILOSOPHICAL ISSUES SAPONTZIS BOTH UNDERMINES THE ARGUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED AGAINST ANIMAL RIGHTS AND CONSTRUCTS A REBUTTAL THAT AVOIDS THE PITFALLS ENCOUNTERED BY EARLIER DEFENSES AUTHOR NOTE S F SAPONTZIS IS PROFESSOR OF PHILOSOPHY AT CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY HAYWARD EDITED BY MYLAN ENGEL JR AND GARY LYNN COMSTOCK THIS BOOK EMPLOYS DIFFERENT ETHICAL LENSES INCLUDING CLASSICAL DEONTOLOGY LIBERTARIANISM COMMONSENSE MORALITY VIRTUE ETHICS UTILITARIANISM AND THE CAPABILITIES APPROACH TO EXPLORE THE PHILOSOPHICAL BASIS FOR THE STRONG ANIMAL RIGHTS VIEW WHICH HOLDS THAT ANIMALS HAVE MORAL RIGHTS EQUAL IN STRENGTH TO THE RIGHTS OF HUMANS WHILE ALSO ADDRESSING WHAT ARE UNDOUBTEDLY THE MOST SERIOUS CHALLENGES TO THE STRONG ANIMAL RIGHTS STANCE INCLUDING THE CHALLENGES POSED BY RIGHTS NIHILISM THE KIND ARGUMENT AGAINST ANIMAL RIGHTS THE PROBLEM OF PREDATION AND THE COMPARATIVE VALUE OF LIVES IN ADDITION CONTRIBUTORS EXPLORE THE PRACTICAL IMPORT OF ANIMAL RIGHTS BOTH FROM A SOCIAL POLICY STANDPOINT AND FROM THE STANDPOINT OF PERSONAL ETHICAL DECISIONS CONCERNING WHAT TO EAT AND WHETHER TO HUNT ANIMALS UNLIKE OTHER VOLUMES ON ANIMAL RIGHTS WHICH FOCUS PRIMARILY ON THE LEGAL RIGHTS OF ANIMALS AND UNLIKE OTHER

ANTHOLOGIES ON ANIMAL ETHICS WHICH TEND TO COVER A WIDE VARIETY OF TOPICS BUT ONLY DEVOTE A FEW ARTICLES TO EACH TOPIC THIS VOLUME FOCUSES EXCLUSIVELY ON THE QUESTION OF WHETHER ANIMALS HAVE MORAL RIGHTS AND THE PRACTICAL IMPORT OF SUCH RIGHTS THE MORAL RIGHTS OF ANIMALS WILL BE AN INDISPENSABLE RESOURCE FOR SCHOLARS TEACHERS AND STUDENTS IN THE FIELDS OF ANIMAL ETHICS APPLIED ETHICS ETHICAL THEORY AND HUMAN ANIMAL STUDIES AS WELL AS ANIMAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES AND POLICY MAKERS INTERESTED IN IMPROVING THE TREATMENT OF ANIMALS TWENTY YEARS AGO PEOPLE THOUGHT ONLY CRANKS OR SENTIMENTALISTS COULD BE SERIOUSLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE TREATMENT OF NON HUMAN ANIMALS HOWEVER SINCE THEN PHILOSOPHERS SCIENTISTS AND WELFARISTS HAVE RAISED PUBLIC AWARENESS OF THE ISSUE AND THEY HAVE BEGUN TO LAY THE FOUNDATIONS FOR AN ENORMOUS CHANGE IN HUMAN PRACTICE THIS BOOK IS A RECORD OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF ANIMAL RIGHTS THROUGH THE EYES OF ONE HIGHLY RESPECTED AND WELL KNOWN THINKER THIS BOOK BRINGS TOGETHER FOR THE FIRST TIME STEPHEN R L CLARK S MAJOR ESSAYS IN ONE VOLUME WRITTEN WITH CHARACTERISTIC CLARITY AND PERSUASION ANIMALS AND THEIR MORAL STANDING WILL BE ESSENTIAL READING FOR BOTH PHILOSOPHERS AND SCIENTISTS AS WELL AS THE GENERAL READER CONCERNED BY THE DEBATES OVER ANIMAL RIGHTS AND TREATMENT FROM EYE WITNESS ACCOUNTS OF ELEPHANTS APPARENTLY MOURNING THE DEATH OF FAMILY MEMBERS TO AN EXPERIMENT THAT SHOWED THAT HUNGRY RHEBUS MONKEYS WOULD NOT TAKE FOOD IF DOING SO GAVE ANOTHER MONKEY AN ELECTRIC SHOCK THERE IS MUCH EVIDENCE OF ANIMALS DISPLAYING WHAT SEEM TO BE MORAL FEELINGS BUT DESPITE SUCH SUGGESTIVE EVIDENCE PHILOSOPHERS STEADFASTLY DENY THAT ANIMALS CAN ACT MORALLY AND FOR REASONS THAT VIRTUALLY EVERYONE HAS FOUND CONVINCING IN CAN ANIMALS BE MORAL PHILOSOPHER MARK ROWLANDS EXAMINES THE REASONING OF PHILOSOPHERS AND SCIENTISTS ON THIS QUESTION RANGING FROM ARISTOTLE AND KANT TO HUME AND DARWIN AND REVEALS THAT THEIR ARGUMENTS FALL FAR SHORT OF COMPELLING THE BASIC ARGUMENT AGAINST MORAL BEHAVIOR IN ANIMALS IS THAT HUMANS HAVE CAPABILITIES THAT ANIMALS LACK WE CAN REFLECT ON OUR MOTIVATIONS FORMULATE ABSTRACT PRINCIPLES THAT ALLOW THAT ALLOW US TO JUDGE RIGHT FROM WRONG FOR AN ACTOR TO BE MORAL HE OR SHE MUST BE ABLE SCRUTINIZE THEIR MOTIVATIONS AND ACTIONS NO ANIMAL CAN DO THESE THINGS NO ANIMAL IS MORAL ROWLAND NATURALLY AGREES THAT HUMANS POSSESS A MORAL CONSCIOUSNESS THAT NO ANIMAL CAN RIVAL BUT HE ARGUES THAT IT IS NOT NECESSARY FOR AN INDIVIDUAL TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO REFLECT ON HIS OR HER MOTIVES TO BE MORAL ANIMALS CAN T DO ALL THAT WE CAN DO BUT THEY CAN ACT ON THE BASIS OF SOME MORAL REASONS BASIC MORAL REASONS INVOLVING CONCERN FOR OTHERS AND WHEN THEY DO THIS THEY ARE DOING JUST WHAT WE DO WHEN WE ACT ON THE BASIS OF THESE REASONS THEY ARE ACTING MORALLY PETER CARRUTHERS EXPLORES A VARIETY OF MORAL THEORIES ARGUING THAT ANIMALS LACK DIRECT MORAL SIGNIFICANCE WHAT KIND OF ANIMALS ARE HUMAN BEINGS AND HOW DO OUR VISIONS OF THE HUMAN SHAPE OUR THEORIES OF SOCIAL ACTION AND INSTITUTIONS IN MORAL BELIEVING ANIMALS CHRISTIAN SMITH ADVANCES A CREATIVE THEORY OF HUMAN PERSONS AND CULTURE THAT OFFERS INNOVATIVE CHALLENGING ANSWERS TO THESE AND OTHER FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS IN SOCIOLOGICAL CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS THEORY SMITH SUGGESTS THAT HUMAN BEINGS HAVE A PECULIAR SET OF CAPACITIES AND PROCLIVITIES THAT DISTINGUISHES THEM SIGNIFICANTLY FROM OTHER ANIMALS ON THIS PLANET DESPITE THE VAST DIFFERENCES IN HUMANITY BETWEEN CULTURES AND ACROSS HISTORY NO MATTER HOW DIFFERENTLY PEOPLE NARRATE THEIR LIVES AND HISTORIES THERE REMAINS AN UNDERLYING STRUCTURE OF HUMAN PERSONHOOD THAT HELPS TO ORDER HUMAN CULTURE HISTORY AND NARRATION DRAWING ON IMPORTANT RECENT INSIGHTS IN MORAL PHILOSOPHY EPISTEMOLOGY AND NARRATIVE STUDIES SMITH ARGUES THAT HUMANS ARE ANIMALS WHO HAVE AN INESCAPABLE MORAL AND SPIRITUAL DIMENSION THEY CANNOT AVOID A FUNDAMENTAL MORAL ORIENTATION IN LIFE AND THIS SAYS SMITH HAS PROFOUND CONSEQUENCES FOR HOW SOCIOLOGY MUST STUDY HUMAN BEINGS MORAL ANIMALS OFFERS A BRAND NEW APPROACH TO MORAL THEORY DRAWING ON ANTHROPOLOGY SOCIOLOGY AND EVOLUTIONARY THEORY AS WELL AS PHILOSOPHY OF LANGUAGE AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE CATHERINE WILSON SHOWS HOW TO UNDERSTAND AND RECONCILE OUR MORAL ASPIRATIONS FOR A JUST WORLD WITH THE CONSTRAINTS HUMAN NATURE PLACES ON US IN BEYOND PREJUDICE EVELYN B PLUHAR DEFENDS THE VIEW THAT ANY SENTIENT CONATIVE BEING ONE CAPABLE OF CARING ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS TO HIM OR HERSELF IS MORALLY SIGNIFICANT A VIEW THAT SUPPORTS THE MORAL STATUS AND RIGHTS OF MANY NONHUMAN ANIMALS CONFRONTING TRADITIONAL AND CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHICAL ARGUMENTS SHE OFFERS IN CLEAR AND ACCESSIBLE FASHION A THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF THEORIES OF MORAL SIGNIFICANCE WHILE DECISIVELY DEMONSTRATING THE FLAWS IN THE ARGUMENTS OF THOSE WHO WOULD AVOID ATTRIBUTING MORAL RIGHTS TO NONHUMANS EXPOSING THE TRADITIONAL VIEW WHICH RESTRICTS THE MORAL REALM TO AUTONOMOUS FULLY FLEDGED PERSONS AS HAVING HORRIFIC IMPLICATIONS FOR THE TREATMENT OF MANY HUMANS PLUHAR GOES ON TO ARGUE POSITIVELY THAT SENTIENT INDIVIDUALS OF ANY SPECIES ARE NO LESS MORALLY SIGNIFICANT THAN THE MOST AUTOMOMOUS HUMAN HER POSITION PROVIDES THE ULTIMATE JUSTIFICATION THAT IS MISSING FROM PREVIOUS DEFENSES OF THE MORAL STATUS OF NONHUMAN ANIMALS IN THE PROCESS OF ADVANCING HER POSITION PLUHAR DISCUSSES THE IMPLICATIONS OF DETERMINING MORAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR CHILDREN AND ABNORMAL HUMANS AS WELL AS ITS RELEVANCE TO POPULATION POLICIES THE RAISING OF ANIMALS FOR FOOD OR PRODUCT TESTING DECISIONS ON HUNTING AND EUTHANASIA AND THE TREATMENT OF COMPANION ANIMALS IN ADDITION THE AUTHOR SCRUTINIZES RECENT ASSERTIONS BY ENVIRONMENTAL ETHICISTS THAT ALL LIVING THINGS OR THAT NATURAL OBJECTS AND ECOSYSTEMS BE CONSIDERED HIGHLY MORALLY SIGNIFICANT THIS POWERFUL BOOK OF MORAL THEORY CHALLENGES ALL DEFENDERS OF THE MORAL STATUS QUO WHICH DECREES THAT ANIMALS DECIDEDLY DO NOT COUNT TO REEVALUATE THEIR CONVICTIONS THIS TITLE EXAMINES THE FIELDS OF VALUE THEORY NORMATIVE AND APPLIED ETHICS ON THE ISSUE OF KILLING ANIMALS IT ADDRESSES A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS CAN PAINLESS KILLING HARM OR BENEFIT AN ANIMAL AND IF SO WHY AND UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS CAN COMING INTO EXISTENCE HARM OR BENEFIT AN ANIMAL IS KILLING ANIMALS MORALLY ACCEPTABLE SHOULD ANIMALS HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO LIFE IN ADDRESSING THESE QUESTIONS ANIMAL RIGHTS AND ANIMAL WELFARE POSITIONS ARE ARTICULATED AND DEBATED BY SOME OF THE FOREMOST THINKERS ON THESE ISSUES WITH A DISTINCTION MADE BETWEEN RIGHTS BASED AND UTILITARIAN APPROACHES WESTERN PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION JAMES RACHELS ARGUES HAVE BEEN SHAKEN BY THE IMPLICATIONS OF DARWIN S WORK MOST NOTABLY THE CONTROVERSIAL IDEA THAT HUMANS ARE SIMPLY A MORE COMPLEX KIND OF ANIMAL HERE RACHELS ASSESSES A NUMBER OF STUDIES THAT SUGGEST HOW CLOSELY HUMANS ARE LINKED TO OTHER PRIMATES IN BEHAVIOR AND THEN GOES ON TO SHOW HOW THIS IDEA UNDERCUTS THE WORK OF MANY PROMINENT PHILOSOPHERS CREATED FROM ANIMALS OFFERS A PROVOCATIVE LOOK AT HOW DARWINIAN EVOLUTION UNDERMINES MANY TENETS OF TRADITIONAL PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION RACHELS BEGINS BY EXAMINING DARWIN S OWN LIFE AND WORK PRESENTING AN ASTONISHINGLY VIVID AND COMPRESSED BIOGRAPHY WE SEE DARWIN S STUDIES OF THE PSYCHOLOGICAL LINKS IN EVOLUTION SUCH AS EMOTIONS IN DOGS AND THE MENTAL POWERS OF WORMS AND HOW HE ADDRESSED THE MORAL IMPLICATIONS OF HIS WORK ESPECIALLY IN HIS CONCERN FOR THE WELFARE OF ANIMALS RACHELS GOES ON TO PRESENT A LIVELY AND ACCESSIBLE SURVEY OF THE

CONTROVERSIES THAT FOLLOWED IN DARWIN'S WAKE RANGING FROM HERBERT SPENCER'S SOCIAL DARWINISM TO EDWARD O. WILSON'S SOCIOBIOLOGY AND DISCUSSES HOW THE WORK OF SUCH INFLUENTIAL INTELLECTS AS DESCARTES, HUME, KANT, THOMAS HUXLEY, HENRI BERGSON, B. F. SKINNER, AND STEPHEN JAY GOULD HAS CONTRIBUTED TO OR BEEN OVERTHROWN BY EVOLUTIONARY SCIENCE WITH THIS SWEEPING SURVEY OF THE ARGUMENTS, THE PHILOSOPHERS, AND THE DEEP IMPLICATIONS SURROUNDING DARWINISM. RACHELS LAYS THE FOUNDATIONS FOR A NEW VIEW OF MORALITY VIRBRANTLY WRITTEN AND PROVOCATIVELY ARGUED. CREATED FROM ANIMALS OFFERS A NEW PERSPECTIVE ON ISSUES RANGING FROM SUICIDE TO EUTHANASIA TO ANIMAL RIGHTS. THEY DON'T HAVE SYNTAX SO WE CAN EAT THEM ACCORDING TO RICHARD SORABJI. THIS CONCLUSION ATTRIBUTED TO THE STOIC PHILOSOPHERS WAS BASED ON ARISTOTLE'S ARGUMENT THAT ANIMALS LACK REASON. IN HIS FASCINATING, DEEPLY LEARNED BOOK, SORABJI TRACES THE ROOTS OF OUR THINKING ABOUT ANIMALS BACK TO ARISTOTELIAN AND STOIC BELIEFS, CHARTING A RECURRENT THEME IN ANCIENT PHILOSOPHY OF MIND. HE SHOWS THAT TODAY'S CONTROVERSIES ABOUT ANIMAL RIGHTS REPRESENT ONLY THE MOST RECENT CHAPTER IN MILLENNIA-OLD DEBATES. SORABJI SURVEYS A VAST RANGE OF GREEK PHILOSOPHICAL TEXTS AND CONSIDERS HOW CLASSICAL DISCUSSIONS OF ANIMALS' CAPACITIES INTERSECT WITH CENTRAL QUESTIONS, NOT ONLY IN ETHICS BUT IN THE DEFINITION OF HUMAN RATIONALITY, AS WELL THE NATURE OF CONCEPTS, HOW PERCEPTIONS DIFFER FROM BELIEFS, HOW MEMORY, INTENTION, AND EMOTION RELATE TO REASON, AND TO WHAT EXTENT SPEECH SKILLS AND INFERENCE CAN SERVE AS PROOFS OF REASON, FOCUSING ON THE SIGNIFICANCE OF RITUAL SACRIFICE AND THE EATING OF MEAT. HE EXPLORES RELIGIOUS CONTEXTS OF THE TREATMENT OF ANIMALS IN ANCIENT GREECE AND IN MEDIEVAL WESTERN CHRISTENDOM. HE ALSO LOOKS CLOSELY AT THE CONTEMPORARY DEFENSES OF ANIMAL RIGHTS OFFERED BY PETER SINGER, TOM REGAN, AND MARY MIDGLEY. ANIMAL MINDS AND HUMAN MORALS SHEDS NEW LIGHT ON TRADITIONAL ARGUMENTS SURROUNDING THE STATUS OF ANIMALS WHILE POINTING BEYOND THEM TO CURRENT MORAL DILEMMAS. IT WILL BE CRUCIAL READING FOR SCHOLARS AND STUDENTS IN THE FIELDS OF ANCIENT PHILOSOPHY, ETHICS, HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY, CLASSICS, AND MEDIEVAL STUDIES, AND FOR EVERYONE SERIOUSLY CONCERNED ABOUT OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER SPECIES. A TOWNSEND LECTURE BOOK IN DEPENDENT RATIONAL ANIMALS, ALASDAIR MACINTYRE COMPARES HUMANS TO OTHER INTELLIGENT ANIMALS, ULTIMATELY DRAWING REMARKABLE CONCLUSIONS ABOUT HUMAN SOCIAL LIFE AND OUR TREATMENT OF THOSE WHOM HE ARGUES WE SHOULD NO LONGER CALL DISABLED. MACINTYRE ARGUES THAT HUMAN BEINGS ARE INDEPENDENT PRACTICAL REASONERS, BUT THEY ARE ALSO DEPENDENT ANIMALS WHO MUST LEARN FROM EACH OTHER IN ORDER TO REMAIN LARGELY INDEPENDENT. TO FLOURISH, HUMANS MUST ACKNOWLEDGE THE IMPORTANCE OF DEPENDENCE AND INDEPENDENCE, BOTH OF WHICH ARE DEVELOPED IN AND THROUGH SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS. THIS REQUIRES THE DEVELOPMENT OF A LOCAL COMMUNITY IN WHICH INDIVIDUALS DISCOVER THEIR OWN GOODS THROUGH THE DISCOVERY OF A COMMON GOOD. A REVISED AND IMPROVED EDITION OF A BOOK IN CONTINUING DEMAND, DO ANIMALS HAVE RIGHTS? IF NOT, DO WE HAVE DUTIES TOWARDS THEM? IF SO, WHAT DUTIES? THESE ARE MYRIAD OTHER ISSUES ARE DISCUSSED IN THIS BRILLIANTLY ARGUED BOOK. PUBLISHED IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE LEADING THINK TANK, DEMOS, WHY ARE ANIMAL RIGHTS GROUPS SO KEEN TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF BADGERS AND FOXES, BUT NOT OF RATS, MICE, OR EVEN HUMANS? HOW CAN WE BRIDGE THE GROWING GAP BETWEEN RURAL PRODUCERS AND URBAN CONSUMERS? WHY IS RAISING ANIMALS FOR FUR MORE HEINOUS THAN RAISING THEM FOR THEIR MEAT? ARE WE, AS HUMAN BEINGS, DRIVING OTHER SPECIES EITHER TO EXTINCTION OR TO A STATE OF DEPENDENCY? THIS PAPERBACK EDITION IS FULLY UPDATED WITH NEW CHAPTERS ON THE LIVESTOCK CRISIS, FISHING, AND BSE, AND A LAYMAN'S GUIDE. INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHICAL CONCEPTS. THE BOOK PRESENTS A RADICAL RESPONSE TO THE DEFENDERS OF ANIMAL RIGHTS AND A CHALLENGE TO THOSE WHO THINK THAT BECAUSE THEY ARE KIND TO THEIR PETS, THEY ARE THEREFORE GOOD NEWS FOR ANIMALS. IN THIS 2ND EDITION, THE AUTHOR HAS SUBSTANTIALLY REVISED HIS BOOK THROUGHOUT, UPDATING THE MORAL ARGUMENTS AND ADDING A CHAPTER ON ANIMAL MINDS. IMPORTANTLY, RATHER THAN BEING A POLEMIC ON ANIMAL RIGHTS, THIS BOOK IS ALSO A CONSIDERED AND IMAGINATIVE EVALUATION OF MORAL THEORY AS EXPLORED THROUGH THE ISSUE OF ANIMAL RIGHTS. IT IS WIDELY AGREED THAT BECAUSE ANIMALS FEEL PAIN, WE SHOULD NOT MAKE THEM SUFFER GRATUITOUSLY. SOME ETHICAL THEORIES GO EVEN FURTHER BECAUSE OF THE CAPACITIES THAT THEY POSSESS. ANIMALS HAVE THE RIGHT NOT TO BE HARMED OR KILLED. THESE VIEWS CONCERN WHAT NOT TO DO TO ANIMALS, BUT WE ALSO FACE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHEN WE SHOULD AND SHOULD NOT ASSIST ANIMALS THAT ARE HUNGRY OR DISTRESSED. SHOULD WE FEED A STARVING STRAY KITTEN, AND IF SO, DOES THIS COMMIT US? IF WE ARE TO BE CONSISTENT TO FEEDING WILD ANIMALS DURING A HARD WINTER, IN THIS CONTROVERSIAL BOOK, CLARE PALMER ADVANCES A THEORY THAT CLAIMS WITH RESPECT TO ASSISTING ANIMALS THAT WHAT IS OWED TO ONE IS NOT NECESSARILY OWED TO ALL. EVEN IF ANIMALS SHARE SIMILAR PSYCHOLOGICAL CAPACITIES, CONTEXT, HISTORY, AND RELATION CAN BE CRITICAL ETHICAL FACTORS. IF ANIMALS LIVE INDEPENDENTLY IN THE WILD, THEIR FATE IS NOT ANY OF OUR MORAL BUSINESS. YET IF HUMANS CREATE DEPENDENT ANIMALS OR DESTROY THEIR HABITATS, WE MAY HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO ASSIST THEM. SUCH ARGUMENTS ARE FAMILIAR IN HUMAN CASES. WE THINK THAT PARENTS HAVE SPECIAL OBLIGATIONS TO THEIR CHILDREN, FOR EXAMPLE, OR THAT SOME GROUPS OWE REPARATIONS TO OTHERS. PALMER DEVELOPS SUCH RELATIONAL CONCERNS IN THE CONTEXT OF WILD ANIMALS, DOMESTICATED ANIMALS, AND URBAN SCAVENGERS, ARGUING THAT DIFFERENT CONTEXTS CAN CREATE DIFFERENT MORAL RELATIONSHIPS. IT IS OFTEN CLAIMED THAT HUMANS ARE RATIONAL, LINGUISTIC, CULTURAL, OR MORAL CREATURES. WHAT THESE CHARACTERIZATIONS MAY ALL HAVE IN COMMON IS THE MORE FUNDAMENTAL CLAIM THAT HUMANS ARE NORMATIVE ANIMALS. IN THE SENSE THAT THEY ARE CREATURES WHOSE LIVES ARE STRUCTURED AT A FUNDAMENTAL LEVEL BY THEIR RELATIONSHIPS TO NORMS. THE VARIOUS CAPACITIES SINGLED OUT BY DISCUSSION OF RATIONAL, LINGUISTIC, CULTURAL, OR MORAL ANIMALS MIGHT THEN ALL ESSENTIALLY INVOLVE AN ORIENTATION TO OBLIGATIONS, PERMISSIONS, AND PROHIBITIONS. AND IF THIS IS SO, THEN PERHAPS IT IS A BASIC SUSCEPTIBILITY OR PROCLIVITY TO NORMATIVE OR DEONTIC REGULATION OF THOUGHT AND BEHAVIOR THAT ENABLES HUMANS TO DEVELOP THE VARIOUS SPECIFIC FEATURES OF THEIR LIFE FORM. THIS VOLUME OF NEW ESSAYS INVESTIGATES THE CLAIM THAT HUMANS ARE ESSENTIALLY NORMATIVE ANIMALS. IN THIS SENSE, THE CONTRIBUTORS DO SO BY LOOKING AT THE NATURE AND RELATIONS OF THREE TYPES OF NORMS OR PUTATIVE NORMS: SOCIAL, MORAL, AND LINGUISTIC, AND ASKING WHETHER THEY MIGHT ALL BE DIFFERENT EXPRESSIONS OF ONE BASIC STRUCTURE. UNIQUE TO HUMANKIND. THESE QUESTIONS ARE POSED BY PHILOSOPHERS, PRIMATOLOGISTS, BEHAVIORAL BIOLOGISTS, PSYCHOLOGISTS, LINGUISTS, AND CULTURAL ANTHROPOLOGISTS WHO HAVE COLLABORATED ON THIS TOPIC FOR MANY YEARS. THE CONTRIBUTORS ARE COMMITTED TO THE IDEA THAT UNDERSTANDING NORMATIVITY IS A TWO-WAY PROCESS INVOLVING A CLOSE INTERACTION BETWEEN CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION AND EMPIRICAL RESEARCH. HOW DO WE THINK ABOUT ANIMALS? HOW DO WE DECIDE WHAT THEY DESERVE AND HOW WE OUGHT TO TREAT THEM? SUBHUMAN TAKES AN INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH TO THESE QUESTIONS, DRAWING FROM RESEARCH IN PHILOSOPHY, NEUROSCIENCE, PSYCHOLOGY, LAW, HISTORY, SOCIOLOGY, ECONOMICS, AND ANTHROPOLOGY. SUBHUMAN ARGUES THAT OUR ATTITUDES TO NONHUMAN ANIMALS, BOTH POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE, LARGELY ARISE FROM OUR NEED TO COMPARE OURSELVES TO THEM. FOOT AND

MOUTH AND MAD COW DISEASE ARE BUT TWO OF THE RESULTS OF TREATING ANIMALS AS COMMODITIES SUBJECT ONLY TO COMMERCIAL CONSTRAINTS AND IGNORING ALL NATURAL AND MORAL CONSIDERATIONS CHICKENS HANGING BY THEIR NECKS ON CONVEYOR BELTS CAGED PIGS WITH SORES BLOATED DEAD SHEEP WITH THEIR LEGS IN THE AIR MUTILATED DOGS WAITING TO DIE AFTER UNDERGOING HORRENDOUS EXPERIMENTS IN THE NAME OF SCIENCE OR JUST PRODUCT TESTING THESE ARE SOME OF THE IMAGES THAT ILLUSTRATE THE INDIFFERENCE OF A CONSUMERIST SOCIETY TO THE SUFFERING OF ANIMALS FEW ARE WILLING TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE PACKAGED SANITIZED SUPERMARKET MEAT THAT MATERIALIZES ON THEIR DINNER TABLES EVERY DAY IS THE RESULT OF AN INDUSTRIAL PROCESS INVOLVING UNIMAGINABLE PAIN AND SUFFERING WE WOULD BE HORRIFIED IF OUR PETS WERE HARMED YET EVERY DAY WE EAT ANIMALS THAT HAVE BEEN TORTURED AND EXECUTED MARK ROWLANDS CLAIMS THAT IT IS SIMPLY UNJUST TO HARM ANIMALS AS CONSCIOUS SENTIENT BEINGS BIOLOGICALLY CONTINUOUS WITH HUMANS THEY HAVE INTERESTS THAT CANNOT SIMPLY BE DISREGARDED USING SIMPLE PRINCIPLES OF JUSTICE HE ARGUES THAT ANIMALS HAVE MORAL RIGHTS AND EXAMINES THE CONSEQUENCES OF THIS CLAIM IN THE CONTEXTS OF VEGETARIANISM ANIMAL EXPERIMENTATION ZOOS AND HUNTING AND ANIMAL RIGHTS ACTIVISM ARTIKLER OM MENNESKETS UDNYTTELSE AF DYR BL A VED VIVISEKTION TIL LUKSUS OG KOSMETIKBRANCHEN TIL JAGT OG TIL F[?] DEVAREINDUSTRIEN ANIMALS AND WHY THEY MATTER EXAMINES THE BARRIERS THAT OUR PHILOSOPHICAL TRADITIONS HAVE ERECTED BETWEEN HUMAN BEINGS AND ANIMALS AND REVEALS THAT THE TOO OFTEN RIDICULED SUBJECT OF ANIMAL RIGHTS IS AN ISSUE CRUCIALLY RELATED TO SUCH PROBLEMS WITHIN THE HUMAN COMMUNITY AS RACISM SEXISM AND AGE DISCRIMINATION MARY MIDGLEY S PROFOUND AND CLEARLY WRITTEN NARRATIVE IS A THOUGHT PROVOKING STUDY OF THE WAY IN WHICH THE OPPOSITION BETWEEN REASON AND EMOTION HAS SHAPED OUR MORAL AND POLITICAL IDEAS AND THE PROBLEMS IT HAS RAISED WHETHER CONSIDERING VEGETARIANISM WOMEN S RIGHTS OR THE HUMANITY OF PETS THIS BOOK GOES TO THE HEART OF THE QUESTION OF WHY ALL ANIMALS MATTER THIS VOLUME IS A COLLECTION OF ESSAYS CONCERNED WITH THE MORALITY OF HUMAN TREATMENT OF NONHUMAN ANIMALS THE CONTRIBUTORS TAKE VERY DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO THEIR TOPICS AND COME TO WIDELY DIVERGENT CONCLUSIONS THE GOAL OF THE VOLUME AS A WHOLE IS TO SHED A BRIGHTER LIGHT UPON AN ASPECT OF HUMAN LIFE OUR RELATIONS WITH THE OTHER ANIMALS THAT HAS RECENTLY SEEN A GREAT INCREASE IN INTEREST AND IN THE GENERATION OF HEAT THE DISCUSSIONS AND DEBATES CONTAINED HEREIN ARE ADDRESSED BY THE CONTRIBUTORS TO EACH OTHER TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND TO THE ACADEMIC WORLD ESPECIALLY THE BIOLOGICAL PHILOSOPHICAL AND POLITICAL PARTS OF THAT WORLD THE ESSAYS ARE ORGANIZED INTO EIGHT SECTIONS BY TOPICS EACH SECTION BEGINNING WITH A BRIEF INTRODUCTION LINKING THE PAPERS AND THE SECTIONS TO ONE ANOTHER THERE IS ALSO A GENERAL INTRODUCTION AND AN EPILOG THAT SUGGESTS ALTERNATE POSSIBLE WAYS OF ORGANIZING THE MATERIAL THE FIRST TWO SECTIONS ARE CONCERNED WITH THE PLACE OF ANIMALS IN THE HUMAN WORLD SECTION I WITH THE WAYS HUMANS VIEW ANIMALS IN LITERATURE PHILOSOPHY AND OTHER PARTS OF HUMAN CULTURE AND SECTION II WITH THE PLACE OF ANIMALS IN HUMAN LEGAL AND MORAL COMMUNITY THE NEXT THREE SECTIONS CONCERN COMPARISONS BETWEEN HUMAN AND NONHUMAN ANIMALS SECTION III ON THE RIGHTS AND WRONGS OF KILLING SECTION IV ON THE HUMANITY OF ANIMALS AND THE ANIMALITY OF HUMANS AND SECTION V ON QUESTIONS OF THE CONFLICT OF HUMAN AND ANIMAL INTERESTS ANIMAL RIGHTS AND MORAL PHILOSOPHY EXAMINES THE MAJOR ARGUMENTS FOR ANIMAL RIGHTS PROPOSED TO DATE AND ADDS A NEW DIMENSION JULIAN H FRANKLIN BEGINS BY CONSIDERING THE UTILITARIAN ARGUMENT OF EQUAL RESPECT FOR ANIMALS ASSOCIATED WITH PETER SINGER AND THE RIGHTS APPROACH ADVANCED BY TOM REGAN DESPITE THEIR MERITS BOTH POSITIONS ARE FOUND TOO LIMITED AS THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR ANIMAL RIGHTS FRANKLIN FOLLOWS WITH A NEW INTERPRETATION OF KANT S CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE SHOWING THAT IT CAN BE EXPANDED TO PROVIDE THE BASIS OF A SYSTEM OF RIGHTS THAT INCLUDES ALL SENTIENT BEINGS HE ALSO SHOWS WHY OTHER FORMS OF RATIONALISM CANNOT BE SIMILARLY EXPANDED FRANKLIN THEN CRITICALLY DISCUSSES THE CONCERN FOR ANIMALS IN DOCTRINES OF COMPASSION INCLUDING THE ECOFEMINIST ETHIC OF CARE AND ALBERT SCHWEITZER S ETHIC OF REVERENCE FOR LIFE IN A CONCLUDING CHAPTER HE CONSIDERS THE CONFLICT BETWEEN THE RIGHTS OF ANIMALS AND HUMANS TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND REFLECTS ON POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS THIS AND THE AUTHOR S THREE PREVIOUS BOOKS ARE INTERRELATED IN THEIR NOTIONS OF PRACTICAL MORALITY AND EDUCATION AND THE COMMON CONCLUSION FOCUSES NOT ON MORAL DELINQUENCY OR INTRACTABILITY BUT RATHER ON THE HUMAN CAPACITY FOR IMPROVEMENT THROUGH APPROPRIATE EDUCATION PASSIONATE ANIMALS EMOTIONS ANIMAL ETHICS AND MORAL PRAGMATICS DRAWS ON THE THEORETICAL ACHIEVEMENTS MADE IN ETHICS POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY AND HUMAN ANIMAL STUDIES ADDRESSING THE PROBLEM THAT THESE ADVANCEMENTS HAVE NOT RESULTED IN PRACTICAL CHANGE TOWARD SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVED HUMAN ANIMAL RELATIONS MARA DARIA COJOCARU ARGUES THAT THIS GAP BETWEEN THEORY AND ACTION CAN CLOSE ONLY IF HUMANS LIVE UP TO THE TASK OF BECOMING PASSIONATE ANIMALS THEMSELVES AND PASSIONATE ABOUT ANIMALS AS WELL IN THE TRADITION OF PHILOSOPHICAL PRAGMATISM AND WITH REFERENCE TO CONGENIAL THINKERS LIKE MARY MIDGLEY COJOCARU DEVELOPS A MORAL PRAGMATICS THAT HIGHLIGHTS THE ROLE OF EMOTIONS IN MORAL AND POLITICAL LIFE AND FOCUSES ON THE INSTITUTIONS NECESSARY TO MAKE TANGIBLE PROGRESS ON THE PROBLEMS POSED BY ANIMAL EXPERIMENTATION AND FACTORY FARMING I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE MORAL ASPECT OF HUMAN NATURE FOR SEVERAL DECADES SEVERAL YEARS AGO AFTER PUBLISHING THE ORIGINS OF MORALITY AN EDITOR FROM OXFORD PRESS SUGGESTED THAT I WRITE UP THE THEORY AND RESEARCH I REVIEWED IN THIS ACADEMIC BOOK IN A MANNER THAT WOULD BE ACCESSIBLE TO PEOPLE WITH RELATIVELY LITTLE BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE IN THE AREA A FEW YEARS LATER I LAUNCHED THIS PROJECT WHICH ENDED UP IN THIS BOOK IN IT I TRACE THE GROWN OF MY UNDERSTANDING OF MORALITY HOW MUCH DO ANIMALS MATTER MORALLY CAN WE KEEP CONSIDERING THEM AS SECOND CLASS BEINGS TO BE USED MERELY FOR OUR BENEFIT OR SHOULD WE OFFER THEM SOME FORM OF MORAL EGALITARIANISM INSERTING ITSELF INTO THE PASSIONATE DEBATE OVER ANIMAL RIGHTS THIS FASCINATING PROVOCATIVE WORK BY RENOWNED SCHOLAR PAOLA CAVALIERI ADVANCES A RADICAL PROPOSAL THAT WE EXTEND BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS TO THE NONHUMAN ANIMALS WE CURRENTLY TREAT AS THINGS CAVALIERI FIRST GOES BACK IN TIME TRACING THE ROOTS OF THE DEBATE FROM THE 1970S THEN EXPLORES NOT ONLY THE ETHICAL BUT ALSO THE SCIENTIFIC VIEWPOINTS EXAMINING THE DEBATE S PRECEDENTS IN MAINSTREAM WESTERN PHILOSOPHY SHE CONSIDERS THE MAIN PROPOSALS OF REFORM THAT RECENTLY HAVE BEEN ADVANCED WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF TODAY S PREVAILING ETHICAL PERSPECTIVES ARE THESE PROPOSALS SATISFYING CAVALIERI SAYS NO CLAIMING THAT IT IS NECESSARY TO GO BEYOND THE TRADITIONAL OPPOSITION BETWEEN UTILITARIANISM AND KANTIANISM AND FOCUS ON THE QUESTION OF FUNDAMENTAL MORAL PROTECTION IN THE CASE OF HUMAN BEINGS SUCH PROTECTION IS GRANTED WITHIN THE WIDELY SHARED MORAL DOCTRINE OF UNIVERSAL HUMAN RIGHTS THEORY CAVALIERI ARGUES THAT IF WE EXAMINE CLOSELY THIS THEORY WE WILL DISCOVER THAT ITS VERY LOGIC EXTENDS TO NONHUMAN ANIMALS AS BEINGS WHO ARE OWED BASIC MORAL AND LEGAL RIGHTS AND THAT AS A RESULT HUMAN RIGHTS ARE NOT HUMAN AFTER ALL REGAN PROVIDES THE THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK THAT GROUNDS A RESPONSIBLE PRO ANIMAL RIGHTS PERSPECTIVE AND

ULTIMATELY EXPLORES HOW ASKING MORAL QUESTIONS ABOUT OTHER ANIMALS CAN LEAD TO A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF OURSELVES THIS BOOK IS AN ATTEMPT TO LEAD THE WAY THROUGH THE MORAL MAZE THAT IS OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH NONHUMAN ANIMALS WRITTEN BY AN AUTHOR WITH AN ESTABLISHED REPUTATION IN THIS FIELD THE BOOK TAKES THE READER STEP BY STEP THROUGH THE MAIN PARAMETERS OF THE DEBATE DEMONSTRATING AT EACH TURN THE DIFFERENT POSITIONS ADOPTED IN THE SECOND PART OF THE BOOK THE IMPLICATIONS OF HOLDING EACH POSITION FOR THE ETHICAL PERMISSIBILITY OF WHAT IS DONE TO ANIMALS IN LABORATORIES FARMS THE HOME AND THE WILD ARE EXPLAINED GARNER STARTS BY ASKING WHETHER ANIMALS HAVE ANY MORAL STANDING BEFORE MOVING ON TO ASSESS EXACTLY WHAT DEGREE OF MORAL STATUS OUGHT TO BE ACCORDED TO THEM IT IS SUGGESTED THAT WHILST ANIMALS SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED THE SAME MORAL STATUS AS HUMANS THEY ARE WORTHY OF GREATER MORAL CONSIDERATION THAN THE ORTHODOX ANIMAL WELFARE POSITION ALLOWS AS A RESULT IT IS SUGGESTED THAT MANY OF THE WAYS WE CURRENTLY TREAT ANIMALS ARE MORALLY ILLEGITIMATE IN THE FINAL CHAPTER THE ISSUE OF POLITICAL PRAXIS IS TACKLED HOW ARE REFORMS TO THE WAYS IN WHICH ANIMALS ARE TREATED TO BE ACHIEVED THIS BOOK SUGGESTS THAT CURRENTLY DOMINANT DEBATES ABOUT INSIDER STATUS AND DIRECT ACTION ARE LESS IMPORTANT THAN THE QUESTION OF AGENCY THAT IS THE IMPORTANT QUESTION IS NOT WHAT IS DONE TO CHANGE THE WAY ANIMALS ARE TREATED AS MUCH AS WHOM IS TO BE MOBILISED TO JOIN THE CAUSE STUDENTS OF PHILOSOPHY POLITICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES WILL FIND THIS AN ESSENTIAL TEXTBOOK RECEIVED OPINION HAS IT THAT HUMANS ARE MORALLY SUPERIOR TO NON HUMAN ANIMALS HUMAN INTERESTS MATTER MORE THAN THE LIKE INTERESTS OF ANIMALS AND THE VALUE OF HUMAN LIVES IS ALLEGED TO BE GREATER THAN THE VALUE OF NONHUMAN ANIMAL LIVES SINCE THIS BELIEF CAUSES MAYHEM AND MURDER ITS DE MYTHOLOGIZING REQUIRES URGENT ATTENTION THIS BOOK TELLS THE INSPIRING STORY OF A LIFELONG ACTIVIST WHOSE CREATIVITY AND CAREFUL THOUGHT SET THE STANDARD FOR THE ANIMAL RIGHTS MOVEMENT IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY INCLUDES 323 CITATIONS IN ENGLISH REGARDING ETHICAL MORAL BIOETHICS AND PHILOSOPHICAL ISSUES RELATED TO ANIMALS EACH CITATION INCLUDES COMPLETE BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA COVERS LITERATURE FROM JANUARY 1986 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1993 AUTHOR AND SUBJECT INDICES GARY STEINER ARGUES THAT ETHOLOGISTS AND PHILOSOPHERS IN THE ANALYTIC AND CONTINENTAL TRADITIONS HAVE LARGELY FAILED TO ADVANCE AN ADEQUATE EXPLANATION OF ANIMAL BEHAVIOR CRITICALLY ENGAGING THE POSITIONS OF MARC HAUSER DANIEL DENNETT DONALD DAVIDSON JOHN SEARLE MARTIN HEIDEGGER AND HANS GEORG GADAMER AMONG OTHERS STEINER SHOWS HOW THE WESTERN PHILOSOPHICAL TRADITION HAS FORCED ANIMALS INTO HUMAN EXPERIENTIAL CATEGORIES IN ORDER TO MAKE SENSE OF THEIR COGNITIVE ABILITIES AND MORAL STATUS AND HOW DESPERATELY WE NEED A NEW APPROACH TO ANIMAL RIGHTS STEINER REJECTS THE TRADITIONAL ASSUMPTION THAT A LACK OF FORMAL RATIONALITY CONFERS AN INFERIOR MORAL STATUS ON ANIMALS VIS  VIS HUMAN BEINGS INSTEAD HE OFFERS AN ASSOCIATIONIST VIEW OF ANIMAL COGNITION IN WHICH ANIMALS GRASP AND ADAPT TO THEIR ENVIRONMENTS WITHOUT EMPLOYING CONCEPTS OR INTENTIONALITY STEINER CHALLENGES THE STANDARD ASSUMPTION OF LIBERAL INDIVIDUALISM ACCORDING TO WHICH HUMANS HAVE NO OBLIGATIONS OF JUSTICE TOWARD ANIMALS INSTEAD HE ADVOCATES A COSMIC HOLISM THAT ATTRIBUTES A MORAL STATUS TO ANIMALS EQUIVALENT TO THAT OF PEOPLE ARGUING FOR A RELATIONSHIP OF JUSTICE BETWEEN HUMANS AND NATURE STEINER EMPHASIZES OUR KINSHIP WITH ANIMALS AND THE FUNDAMENTAL MORAL OBLIGATIONS ENTAILED BY THIS KINSHIP

THE MORAL ANIMAL

1994

IN A PATHBREAKING REVELATORY BOOK ROBERT WRIGHT SENIOR EDITOR AT THE NEW REPUBLIC DEMONSTRATES THE RELEVANCE OF EVOLUTIONARY PSYCHOLOGY TO EVERYDAY LIFE AND CHALLENGES US TO SEE OURSELVES FOR BETTER OR WORSE UNDER ITS CLARIFYING LENS

THE MORAL ANIMAL

2010-11-03

ONE OF THE MOST PROVOCATIVE SCIENCE BOOKS EVER PUBLISHED A FEAST OF GREAT THINKING AND WRITING ABOUT THE MOST PROFOUND ISSUES THERE ARE THE NEW YORK TIMES BOOK REVIEW FIERCELY INTELLIGENT BEAUTIFULLY WRITTEN AND ENROSSINGLY ORIGINAL THE NEW YORK TIMES BOOK REVIEW ARE MEN LITERALLY BORN TO CHEAT DOES MONOGAMY ACTUALLY SERVE WOMEN S INTERESTS THESE ARE AMONG THE QUESTIONS THAT HAVE MADE THE MORAL ANIMAL ONE OF THE MOST PROVOCATIVE SCIENCE BOOKS IN RECENT YEARS WRIGHT UNVEILS THE GENETIC STRATEGIES BEHIND EVERYTHING FROM OUR SEXUAL PREFERENCES TO OUR OFFICE POLITICS AS WELL AS THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR OUR MORAL CODES AND PUBLIC POLICIES ILLUSTRATIONS

THE MORAL ANIMAL

1996

THE MORAL ANIMAL EXAMINES THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS EXTRAORDINARY SHIFT IN OUR PERCEPTION OF MORALITY AND WHAT IT MEANS TO BE HUMAN TAKING THE LIFE OF CHARLES DARWIN AS HIS CONTEXT ROBERT WRIGHT BRILLIANTLY DEMONSTRATES HOW DARWIN S IDEAS HAVE STOOD THE TEST OF TIME DRAWING STARTLING CONCLUSIONS ABOUT THE STRUCTURE OF SOME OF OUR MOST BASIC PREOCCUPATIONS WHY DO WE COMMIT ADULTERY EXPRESS SUICIDAL TENDENCIES AND HAVE THE CAPACITY FOR SELF DECEPTION WRIGHT NOT ONLY PROVIDES THE ANSWERS TO SUCH FUNDAMENTAL MORAL QUESTIONS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF EVOLUTIONARY PSYCHOLOGY BUT CHALLENGES US TO SEE OURSELVES ANEW THROUGH THE CLARIFYING LENS OF THIS FLEDGLING AND EXCITING SCIENCE

SUMMARY OF THE MORAL ANIMAL BY ROBERT WRIGHT

2022-03-05T22:59:00Z

LEARN HOW EVOLUTIONARY PSYCHOLOGY CAN EXPLAIN HUMAN BEHAVIOR HUMAN BEINGS HAVE OFTEN SAID THAT OUR MORAL COMPASS IS WHAT SETS US APART FROM OTHER ANIMALS WE ARE HUMANS BECAUSE WE KNOW WE HAVE A CONSCIENCE AND AN ABILITY TO IDENTIFY THE MORALLY RIGHT THING TO DO THE MORAL ANIMAL 1994 EXPOUNDS ON THIS BELIEF BY UNPACKING THE TENETS OF EVOLUTIONARY PSYCHOLOGY DO YOU WANT MORE FREE BOOK SUMMARIES LIKE THIS DOWNLOAD OUR APP FOR FREE AT QUICKREAD COM APP AND GET ACCESS TO HUNDREDS OF FREE BOOK AND AUDIOBOOK SUMMARIES DISCLAIMER THIS BOOK SUMMARY IS MEANT AS A SUMMARY AND AN ANALYSIS AND NOT A REPLACEMENT FOR THE ORIGINAL WORK IF YOU LIKE THIS SUMMARY PLEASE CONSIDER PURCHASING THE ORIGINAL BOOK TO GET THE FULL EXPERIENCE AS THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR INTENDED IT TO BE IF YOU ARE THE ORIGINAL AUTHOR OF ANY BOOK PUBLISHED ON QUICKREAD AND WANT US TO REMOVE IT PLEASE CONTACT US AT HELLO QUICKREAD COM

SUMMARY OF ROBERT WRIGHT'S THE MORAL ANIMAL

2009-08-01

PLEASE NOTE THIS IS A COMPANION VERSION NOT THE ORIGINAL BOOK SAMPLE BOOK INSIGHTS 1 THE VICTORIAN ERA WAS A TIME OF SEXUAL REPRESSION AS VICTORIAN PHYSICIANS WARNED AGAINST THE DANGERS OF SEXUAL INDULGENCE FOR BOYS HOWEVER THIS OUTLOOK ALSO SPREAD BEYOND THE WALLS OF THE METHODIST CHURCHES INTO THE HOMES OF ANGLICANS UNITARIANS

AND EVEN AGNOSTICS 2 CHARLES DARWIN WAS ORIGINALLY GOING TO BE A DOCTOR BUT HE BECAME INTERESTED IN ZOOLOGY INSTEAD HIS FATHER HOWEVER WANTED HIM TO JOIN THE CLERGY 3 THE IDEA OF NATURAL SELECTION WHILE INDEED SWEEPING IN SIGNIFICANCE IS NOT REALLY MASSIVE IN STRUCTURE IT IS A SMALL AND SIMPLE THEORY IT DOESN T TAKE A HUGE INTELLECT TO CONCEIVE IT 4 THE PROCESS OF NATURAL SELECTION IS WHAT MAKES US WHAT WE ARE TODAY IT IS THE PROCESS OF CHOOSING THE FITTEST MEMBERS OF A SPECIES AND DESTROYING THE LESS FIT ONES

WILD JUSTICE

1992-03-01

SCIENTISTS HAVE LONG COUNSELED AGAINST INTERPRETING ANIMAL BEHAVIOR IN TERMS OF HUMAN EMOTIONS WARNING THAT SUCH ANTHROPOMORPHIZING LIMITS OUR ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND ANIMALS AS THEY REALLY ARE YET WHAT ARE WE TO MAKE OF A FEMALE GORILLA IN A GERMAN ZOO WHO SPENT DAYS MOURNING THE DEATH OF HER BABY OR A WILD FEMALE ELEPHANT WHO CARED FOR A YOUNGER ONE AFTER SHE WAS INJURED BY A RAMBUNCTIOUS TEENAGE MALE OR A RAT WHO REFUSED TO PUSH A LEVER FOR FOOD WHEN HE SAW THAT DOING SO CAUSED ANOTHER RAT TO BE SHOCKED AREN T THESE CLEAR SIGNS THAT ANIMALS HAVE RECOGNIZABLE EMOTIONS AND MORAL INTELLIGENCE WITH WILD JUSTICE MARC BEKOFF AND JESSICA PIERCE UNEQUIVOCALLY ANSWER YES MARRYING YEARS OF BEHAVIORAL AND COGNITIVE RESEARCH WITH COMPELLING AND MOVING ANECDOTES BEKOFF AND PIERCE REVEAL THAT ANIMALS EXHIBIT A BROAD REPERTOIRE OF MORAL BEHAVIORS INCLUDING FAIRNESS EMPATHY TRUST AND RECIPROCITY UNDERLYING THESE BEHAVIORS IS A COMPLEX AND NUANCED RANGE OF EMOTIONS BACKED BY A HIGH DEGREE OF INTELLIGENCE AND SURPRISING BEHAVIORAL FLEXIBILITY ANIMALS IN SHORT ARE INCREDIBLY ADEPT SOCIAL BEINGS RELYING ON RULES OF CONDUCT TO NAVIGATE INTRICATE SOCIAL NETWORKS THAT ARE ESSENTIAL TO THEIR SURVIVAL ULTIMATELY BEKOFF AND PIERCE DRAW THE ASTONISHING CONCLUSION THAT THERE IS NO MORAL GAP BETWEEN HUMANS AND OTHER SPECIES MORALITY IS AN EVOLVED TRAIT THAT WE UNQUESTIONABLY SHARE WITH OTHER SOCIAL MAMMALS SURE TO BE CONTROVERSIAL WILD JUSTICE OFFERS NOT JUST CUTTING EDGE SCIENCE BUT A PROVOCATIVE CALL TO RETHINK OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH AND OUR RESPONSIBILITIES TOWARD OUR FELLOW ANIMALS

MORALS, REASON, AND ANIMALS

2016-03-16

THIS BOOK CRITICIZES THE COMMON BELIEF THAT WE ARE ENTITLED TO EXPLOIT ANIMALS FOR OUR BENEFIT BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT AS RATIONAL AS PEOPLE AFTER DISCUSSING THE MORAL IN SIGNIFICANCE OF REASON IN GENERAL THE AUTHOR PROCEEDS TO DEVELOP A CLEAR COMMONSENSICAL CONCEPTION OF WHAT ANIMAL RIGHTS IS ABOUT AND WHY EVERYDAY MORALITY POINTS TOWARD THE LIBERATION OF ANIMALS AS THE NEXT LOGICAL STEP IN WESTERN MORAL PROGRESS THE BOOK EVALUATES CRITICISMS OF ANIMAL RIGHTS THAT HAVE APPEARED IN RECENT PHILOSOPHICAL LITERATURE AND EXPLAINS THE CONSEQUENCES OF ANIMAL LIBERATION FOR OUR DIET SCIENCE AND TREATMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT THE ISSUE OF ANIMAL RIGHTS HAS BECOME OF INCREASING PHILOSOPHICAL AND POPULAR IMPORTANCE OVER THE PAST DECADE MORALS REASON AND ANIMALS IS THE FIRST EXTENSIVE SECOND GENERATION CONTRIBUTION TO THIS DEBATE FOCUSING EXCLUSIVELY ON THE FUNDAMENTAL PHILOSOPHICAL ISSUES SAPONTZIS BOTH UNDERMINES THE ARGUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED AGAINST ANIMAL RIGHTS AND CONSTRUCTS A REBUTTAL THAT AVOIDS THE PITFALLS ENCOUNTERED BY EARLIER DEFENSES AUTHOR NOTE S F SAPONTZIS IS PROFESSOR OF PHILOSOPHY AT CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY HAYWARD

THE MORAL RIGHTS OF ANIMALS

2006-06-07

EDITED BY MYLAN ENGEL JR AND GARY LYNN COMSTOCK THIS BOOK EMPLOYS DIFFERENT ETHICAL LENSES INCLUDING CLASSICAL DEONTOLOGY LIBERTARIANISM COMMONSENSE MORALITY VIRTUE ETHICS UTILITARIANISM AND THE CAPABILITIES APPROACH TO EXPLORE THE PHILOSOPHICAL BASIS FOR THE STRONG ANIMAL RIGHTS VIEW WHICH HOLDS THAT ANIMALS HAVE MORAL RIGHTS EQUAL IN STRENGTH TO THE RIGHTS OF HUMANS WHILE ALSO ADDRESSING WHAT ARE UNDOUBTEDLY THE MOST SERIOUS CHALLENGES TO THE STRONG ANIMAL RIGHTS STANCE INCLUDING THE CHALLENGES POSED BY RIGHTS NIHILISM THE KIND ARGUMENT AGAINST ANIMAL RIGHTS THE PROBLEM OF PREDATION AND THE COMPARATIVE VALUE OF LIVES IN ADDITION CONTRIBUTORS EXPLORE THE PRACTICAL IMPORT OF ANIMAL RIGHTS BOTH FROM A SOCIAL POLICY STANDPOINT AND FROM THE STANDPOINT OF PERSONAL ETHICAL DECISIONS CONCERNING WHAT TO EAT AND WHETHER TO HUNT ANIMALS UNLIKE OTHER VOLUMES ON ANIMAL RIGHTS WHICH FOCUS PRIMARILY ON THE LEGAL RIGHTS OF ANIMALS AND UNLIKE OTHER ANTHOLOGIES ON ANIMAL ETHICS WHICH TEND TO COVER A WIDE VARIETY OF TOPICS BUT ONLY DEVOTE A FEW ARTICLES TO EACH TOPIC THIS VOLUME FOCUSES EXCLUSIVELY ON THE QUESTION OF WHETHER ANIMALS HAVE MORAL RIGHTS AND THE PRACTICAL IMPORT OF SUCH RIGHTS THE MORAL RIGHTS OF ANIMALS WILL BE AN INDISPENSABLE RESOURCE FOR SCHOLARS TEACHERS AND STUDENTS IN THE FIELDS OF ANIMAL ETHICS APPLIED ETHICS ETHICAL

2023-08-25

7/16

THEORY AND HUMAN ANIMAL STUDIES AS WELL AS ANIMAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES AND POLICY MAKERS INTERESTED IN IMPROVING THE TREATMENT OF ANIMALS

ANIMALS AND THEIR MORAL STANDING

2015-03

TWENTY YEARS AGO PEOPLE THOUGHT ONLY CRANKS OR SENTIMENTALISTS COULD BE SERIOUSLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE TREATMENT OF NON HUMAN ANIMALS HOWEVER SINCE THEN PHILOSOPHERS SCIENTISTS AND WELFARISTS HAVE RAISED PUBLIC AWARENESS OF THE ISSUE AND THEY HAVE BEGUN TO LAY THE FOUNDATIONS FOR AN ENORMOUS CHANGE IN HUMAN PRACTICE THIS BOOK IS A RECORD OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF ANIMAL RIGHTS THROUGH THE EYES OF ONE HIGHLY RESPECTED AND WELL KNOWN THINKER THIS BOOK BRINGS TOGETHER FOR THE FIRST TIME STEPHEN R L CLARK'S MAJOR ESSAYS IN ONE VOLUME WRITTEN WITH CHARACTERISTIC CLARITY AND PERSUASION ANIMALS AND THEIR MORAL STANDING WILL BE ESSENTIAL READING FOR BOTH PHILOSOPHERS AND SCIENTISTS AS WELL AS THE GENERAL READER CONCERNED BY THE DEBATES OVER ANIMAL RIGHTS AND TREATMENT

CAN ANIMALS BE MORAL?

1992-09-24

FROM EYE WITNESS ACCOUNTS OF ELEPHANTS APPARENTLY MOURNING THE DEATH OF FAMILY MEMBERS TO AN EXPERIMENT THAT SHOWED THAT HUNGRY RHESUS MONKEYS WOULD NOT TAKE FOOD IF DOING SO GAVE ANOTHER MONKEY AN ELECTRIC SHOCK THERE IS MUCH EVIDENCE OF ANIMALS DISPLAYING WHAT SEEM TO BE MORAL FEELINGS BUT DESPITE SUCH SUGGESTIVE EVIDENCE PHILOSOPHERS STEADFASTLY DENY THAT ANIMALS CAN ACT MORALLY AND FOR REASONS THAT VIRTUALLY EVERYONE HAS FOUND CONVINCING IN *CAN ANIMALS BE MORAL* PHILOSOPHER MARK ROWLANDS EXAMINES THE REASONING OF PHILOSOPHERS AND SCIENTISTS ON THIS QUESTION RANGING FROM ARISTOTLE AND KANT TO HUME AND DARWIN AND REVEALS THAT THEIR ARGUMENTS FALL FAR SHORT OF COMPELLING THE BASIC ARGUMENT AGAINST MORAL BEHAVIOR IN ANIMALS IS THAT HUMANS HAVE CAPABILITIES THAT ANIMALS LACK WE CAN REFLECT ON OUR MOTIVATIONS FORMULATE ABSTRACT PRINCIPLES THAT ALLOW US TO JUDGE RIGHT FROM WRONG FOR AN ACTOR TO BE MORAL HE OR SHE MUST BE ABLE SCRUTINIZE THEIR MOTIVATIONS AND ACTIONS NO ANIMAL CAN DO THESE THINGS NO ANIMAL IS MORAL ROWLAND NATURALLY AGREES THAT HUMANS POSSESS A MORAL CONSCIOUSNESS THAT NO ANIMAL CAN RIVAL BUT HE ARGUES THAT IT IS NOT NECESSARY FOR AN INDIVIDUAL TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO REFLECT ON HIS OR HER MOTIVES TO BE MORAL ANIMALS CAN'T DO ALL THAT WE CAN DO BUT THEY CAN ACT ON THE BASIS OF SOME MORAL REASONS BASIC MORAL REASONS INVOLVING CONCERN FOR OTHERS AND WHEN THEY DO THIS THEY ARE DOING JUST WHAT WE DO WHEN WE ACT ON THE BASIS OF THESE REASONS THEY ARE ACTING MORALLY

THE ANIMALS ISSUE

2003-07-10

PETER CARRUTHERS EXPLORES A VARIETY OF MORAL THEORIES ARGUING THAT ANIMALS LACK DIRECT MORAL SIGNIFICANCE

MORAL, BELIEVING ANIMALS

2004

WHAT KIND OF ANIMALS ARE HUMAN BEINGS AND HOW DO OUR VISIONS OF THE HUMAN SHAPE OUR THEORIES OF SOCIAL ACTION AND INSTITUTIONS IN *MORAL BELIEVING ANIMALS* CHRISTIAN SMITH ADVANCES A CREATIVE THEORY OF HUMAN PERSONS AND CULTURE THAT OFFERS INNOVATIVE CHALLENGING ANSWERS TO THESE AND OTHER FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS IN SOCIOLOGICAL CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS THEORY SMITH SUGGESTS THAT HUMAN BEINGS HAVE A PECULIAR SET OF CAPACITIES AND PROCLIVITIES THAT DISTINGUISHES THEM SIGNIFICANTLY FROM OTHER ANIMALS ON THIS PLANET DESPITE THE VAST DIFFERENCES IN HUMANITY BETWEEN CULTURES AND ACROSS HISTORY NO MATTER HOW DIFFERENTLY PEOPLE NARRATE THEIR LIVES AND HISTORIES THERE REMAINS AN UNDERLYING STRUCTURE OF HUMAN PERSONHOOD THAT HELPS TO ORDER HUMAN CULTURE HISTORY AND NARRATION DRAWING ON IMPORTANT RECENT INSIGHTS IN MORAL PHILOSOPHY EPISTEMOLOGY AND NARRATIVE STUDIES SMITH ARGUES THAT HUMANS ARE ANIMALS WHO HAVE AN INESCAPABLE MORAL AND SPIRITUAL DIMENSION THEY CANNOT AVOID A FUNDAMENTAL MORAL

2023-08-25

8/16

THE LAST GENERATION OF TRUTH

ORIENTATION IN LIFE AND THIS SAYS SMITH HAS PROFOUND CONSEQUENCES FOR HOW SOCIOLOGY MUST STUDY HUMAN BEINGS

MORAL ANIMALS

1995

MORAL ANIMALS OFFERS A BRAND NEW APPROACH TO MORAL THEORY DRAWING ON ANTHROPOLOGY SOCIOLOGY AND EVOLUTIONARY THEORY AS WELL AS PHILOSOPHY OF LANGUAGE AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE CATHERINE WILSON SHOWS HOW TO UNDERSTAND AND RECONCILE OUR MORAL ASPIRATIONS FOR A JUST WORLD WITH THE CONSTRAINTS HUMAN NATURE PLACES ON US

BEYOND PREJUDICE

2016

IN BEYOND PREJUDICE EVELYN B PLUHAR DEFENDS THE VIEW THAT ANY SENTIENT CONATIVE BEING ONE CAPABLE OF CARING ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS TO HIM OR HERSELF IS MORALLY SIGNIFICANT A VIEW THAT SUPPORTS THE MORAL STATUS AND RIGHTS OF MANY NONHUMAN ANIMALS CONFRONTING TRADITIONAL AND CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHICAL ARGUMENTS SHE OFFERS IN CLEAR AND ACCESSIBLE FASHION A THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF THEORIES OF MORAL SIGNIFICANCE WHILE DECISIVELY DEMONSTRATING THE FLAWS IN THE ARGUMENTS OF THOSE WHO WOULD AVOID ATTRIBUTING MORAL RIGHTS TO NONHUMANS EXPOSING THE TRADITIONAL VIEW WHICH RESTRICTS THE MORAL REALM TO AUTONOMOUS FULLY FLEDGED PERSONS AS HAVING HORRIFIC IMPLICATIONS FOR THE TREATMENT OF MANY HUMANS PLUHAR GOES ON TO ARGUE POSITIVELY THAT SENTIENT INDIVIDUALS OF ANY SPECIES ARE NO LESS MORALLY SIGNIFICANT THAN THE MOST AUTONOMOUS HUMAN HER POSITION PROVIDES THE ULTIMATE JUSTIFICATION THAT IS MISSING FROM PREVIOUS DEFENSES OF THE MORAL STATUS OF NONHUMAN ANIMALS IN THE PROCESS OF ADVANCING HER POSITION PLUHAR DISCUSSES THE IMPLICATIONS OF DETERMINING MORAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR CHILDREN AND ABNORMAL HUMANS AS WELL AS ITS RELEVANCE TO POPULATION POLICIES THE RAISING OF ANIMALS FOR FOOD OR PRODUCT TESTING DECISIONS ON HUNTING AND EUTHANASIA AND THE TREATMENT OF COMPANION ANIMALS IN ADDITION THE AUTHOR SCRUTINIZES RECENT ASSERTIONS BY ENVIRONMENTAL ETHICISTS THAT ALL LIVING THINGS OR THAT NATURAL OBJECTS AND ECOSYSTEMS BE CONSIDERED HIGHLY MORALLY SIGNIFICANT THIS POWERFUL BOOK OF MORAL THEORY CHALLENGES ALL DEFENDERS OF THE MORAL STATUS QUO WHICH DECREES THAT ANIMALS DECIDEDLY DO NOT COUNT TO REEVALUATE THEIR CONVICTIONS

THE ETHICS OF KILLING ANIMALS

1991

THIS TITLE EXAMINES THE FIELDS OF VALUE THEORY NORMATIVE AND APPLIED ETHICS ON THE ISSUE OF KILLING ANIMALS IT ADDRESSES A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS CAN PAINLESS KILLING HARM OR BENEFIT AN ANIMAL AND IF SO WHY AND UNDER WHAT CONDITIONS CAN COMING INTO EXISTENCE HARM OR BENEFIT AN ANIMAL IS KILLING ANIMALS MORALLY ACCEPTABLE SHOULD ANIMALS HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO LIFE IN ADDRESSING THESE QUESTIONS ANIMAL RIGHTS AND ANIMAL WELFARE POSITIONS ARE ARTICULATED AND DEBATED BY SOME OF THE FOREMOST THINKERS ON THESE ISSUES WITH A DISTINCTION MADE BETWEEN RIGHTS BASED AND UTILITARIAN APPROACHES

CREATED FROM ANIMALS

2018-05-31

WESTERN PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION JAMES RACHELS ARGUES HAVE BEEN SHAKEN BY THE IMPLICATIONS OF DARWIN S WORK MOST NOTABLY THE CONTROVERSIAL IDEA THAT HUMANS ARE SIMPLY A MORE COMPLEX KIND OF ANIMAL HERE RACHELS ASSESSES A NUMBER OF STUDIES THAT SUGGEST HOW CLOSELY HUMANS ARE LINKED TO OTHER PRIMATES IN BEHAVIOR AND THEN GOES ON TO SHOW HOW THIS IDEA UNDERCUTS THE WORK OF MANY PROMINENT PHILOSOPHERS CREATED FROM ANIMALS OFFERS A PROVOCATIVE LOOK AT HOW DARWINIAN EVOLUTION UNDERMINES MANY TENETS OF TRADITIONAL PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION RACHELS BEGINS BY EXAMINING DARWIN S OWN LIFE AND WORK PRESENTING AN ASTONISHINGLY VIVID AND COMPRESSED BIOGRAPHY WE SEE DARWIN S STUDIES OF THE PSYCHOLOGICAL LINKS IN EVOLUTION SUCH AS EMOTIONS IN DOGS AND THE MENTAL POWERS OF WORMS AND HOW HE ADDRESSED THE MORAL IMPLICATIONS OF HIS WORK ESPECIALLY IN HIS CONCERN FOR THE WELFARE OF ANIMALS RACHELS GOES ON TO PRESENT A LIVELY AND ACCESSIBLE SURVEY OF THE CONTROVERSIES THAT FOLLOWED IN DARWIN S WAKE RANGING

FROM HERBERT SPENCER'S SOCIAL DARWINISM TO EDWARD O. WILSON'S SOCIOBIOLOGY AND DISCUSSES HOW THE WORK OF SUCH INFLUENTIAL INTELLECTS AS DESCARTES, HUME, KANT, THOMAS HUXLEY, HENRI BERGSON, B.F. SKINNER, AND STEPHEN JAY GOULD HAS CONTRIBUTED TO OR BEEN OVERTHROWN BY EVOLUTIONARY SCIENCE. WITH THIS SWEEPING SURVEY OF THE ARGUMENTS THE PHILOSOPHERS AND THE DEEP IMPLICATIONS SURROUNDING DARWINISM, RACHELS LAYS THE FOUNDATIONS FOR A NEW VIEW OF MORALITY. VIBRANTLY WRITTEN AND PROVOCATIVELY ARGUED, CREATED FROM ANIMALS OFFERS A NEW PERSPECTIVE ON ISSUES RANGING FROM SUICIDE TO EUTHANASIA TO ANIMAL RIGHTS.

ANIMAL MINDS AND HUMAN MORALS

1999

THEY DON'T HAVE SYNTAX SO WE CAN EAT THEM ACCORDING TO RICHARD SORABJI. THIS CONCLUSION ATTRIBUTED TO THE STOIC PHILOSOPHERS WAS BASED ON ARISTOTLE'S ARGUMENT THAT ANIMALS LACK REASON. IN HIS FASCINATING, DEEPLY LEARNED BOOK, SORABJI TRACES THE ROOTS OF OUR THINKING ABOUT ANIMALS BACK TO ARISTOTELIAN AND STOIC BELIEFS, CHARTING A RECURRENT THEME IN ANCIENT PHILOSOPHY OF MIND. HE SHOWS THAT TODAY'S CONTROVERSIES ABOUT ANIMAL RIGHTS REPRESENT ONLY THE MOST RECENT CHAPTER IN MILLENNIA-OLD DEBATES. SORABJI SURVEYS A VAST RANGE OF GREEK PHILOSOPHICAL TEXTS AND CONSIDERS HOW CLASSICAL DISCUSSIONS OF ANIMALS' CAPACITIES INTERSECT WITH CENTRAL QUESTIONS, NOT ONLY IN ETHICS BUT IN THE DEFINITION OF HUMAN RATIONALITY, AS WELL THE NATURE OF CONCEPTS. HOW PERCEPTIONS DIFFER FROM BELIEFS, HOW MEMORY, INTENTION, AND EMOTION RELATE TO REASON, AND TO WHAT EXTENT SPEECH SKILLS AND INFERENCE CAN SERVE AS PROOFS OF REASON. FOCUSING ON THE SIGNIFICANCE OF RITUAL SACRIFICE AND THE EATING OF MEAT, HE EXPLORES RELIGIOUS CONTEXTS OF THE TREATMENT OF ANIMALS IN ANCIENT GREECE AND IN MEDIEVAL WESTERN CHRISTENDOM. HE ALSO LOOKS CLOSELY AT THE CONTEMPORARY DEFENSES OF ANIMAL RIGHTS OFFERED BY PETER SINGER, TOM REGAN, AND MARY MIDGLEY. *ANIMAL MINDS AND HUMAN MORALS* SHEDS NEW LIGHT ON TRADITIONAL ARGUMENTS SURROUNDING THE STATUS OF ANIMALS WHILE POINTING BEYOND THEM TO CURRENT MORAL DILEMMAS. IT WILL BE CRUCIAL READING FOR SCHOLARS AND STUDENTS IN THE FIELDS OF ANCIENT PHILOSOPHY, ETHICS, HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY, CLASSICS, AND MEDIEVAL STUDIES, AND FOR EVERYONE SERIOUSLY CONCERNED ABOUT OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER SPECIES. A TOWNSEND LECTURE BOOK.

DEPENDENT RATIONAL ANIMALS

1998

IN *DEPENDENT RATIONAL ANIMALS*, ALASDAIR MACINTYRE COMPARES HUMANS TO OTHER INTELLIGENT ANIMALS, ULTIMATELY DRAWING REMARKABLE CONCLUSIONS ABOUT HUMAN SOCIAL LIFE AND OUR TREATMENT OF THOSE WHOM HE ARGUES WE SHOULD NO LONGER CALL DISABLED. MACINTYRE ARGUES THAT HUMAN BEINGS ARE INDEPENDENT PRACTICAL REASONERS, BUT THEY ARE ALSO DEPENDENT ANIMALS WHO MUST LEARN FROM EACH OTHER IN ORDER TO REMAIN LARGELY INDEPENDENT. TO FLOURISH, HUMANS MUST ACKNOWLEDGE THE IMPORTANCE OF DEPENDENCE AND INDEPENDENCE, BOTH OF WHICH ARE DEVELOPED IN AND THROUGH SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS. THIS REQUIRES THE DEVELOPMENT OF A LOCAL COMMUNITY IN WHICH INDIVIDUALS DISCOVER THEIR OWN GOODS THROUGH THE DISCOVERY OF A COMMON GOOD.

ANIMAL RIGHTS AND WRONGS

2016-04-30

A REVISED AND IMPROVED EDITION OF A BOOK IN CONTINUING DEMAND: DO ANIMALS HAVE RIGHTS? IF NOT, DO WE HAVE DUTIES TOWARDS THEM? IF SO, WHAT DUTIES? THESE ARE MYRIAD OTHER ISSUES ARE DISCUSSED IN THIS BRILLIANTLY ARGUED BOOK, PUBLISHED IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE LEADING THINK TANK DEMOS. WHY ARE ANIMAL RIGHTS GROUPS SO KEEN TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF BADGERS AND FOXES BUT NOT OF RATS, MICE, OR EVEN HUMANS? HOW CAN WE BRIDGE THE GROWING GAP BETWEEN RURAL PRODUCERS AND URBAN CONSUMERS? WHY IS RAISING ANIMALS FOR FUR MORE HEINOUS THAN RAISING THEM FOR THEIR MEAT? ARE WE, AS HUMAN BEINGS, DRIVING OTHER SPECIES EITHER TO EXTINCTION OR TO A STATE OF DEPENDENCY? THIS PAPERBACK EDITION IS FULLY UPDATED WITH NEW CHAPTERS ON THE LIVESTOCK CRISIS, FISHING, AND BSE, AND A LAYMAN'S GUIDE. INTRODUCTION TO PHILOSOPHICAL CONCEPTS. THE BOOK PRESENTS A RADICAL RESPONSE TO THE DEFENDERS OF ANIMAL RIGHTS AND A CHALLENGE TO THOSE WHO THINK THAT BECAUSE THEY ARE KIND TO THEIR PETS, THEY ARE THEREFORE GOOD NEWS FOR ANIMALS.

ANIMAL RIGHTS

2010

IN THIS 2ND EDITION THE AUTHOR HAS SUBSTANTIALLY REVISED HIS BOOK THROUGHOUT UPDATING THE MORAL ARGUMENTS AND ADDING A CHAPTER ON ANIMAL MINDS IMPORTANTLY RATHER THAN BEING A POLEMIC ON ANIMAL RIGHTS THIS BOOK IS ALSO A CONSIDERED AND IMAGINATIVE EVALUATION OF MORAL THEORY AS EXPLORED THROUGH THE ISSUE OF ANIMAL RIGHTS

ANIMAL ETHICS IN CONTEXT

2019-06-11

IT IS WIDELY AGREED THAT BECAUSE ANIMALS FEEL PAIN WE SHOULD NOT MAKE THEM SUFFER GRATUITOUSLY SOME ETHICAL THEORIES GO EVEN FURTHER BECAUSE OF THE CAPACITIES THAT THEY POSSESS ANIMALS HAVE THE RIGHT NOT TO BE HARMED OR KILLED THESE VIEWS CONCERN WHAT NOT TO DO TO ANIMALS BUT WE ALSO FACE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHEN WE SHOULD AND SHOULD NOT ASSIST ANIMALS THAT ARE HUNGRY OR DISTRESSED SHOULD WE FEED A STARVING STRAY KITTEN AND IF SO DOES THIS COMMIT US IF WE ARE TO BE CONSISTENT TO FEEDING WILD ANIMALS DURING A HARD WINTER IN THIS CONTROVERSIAL BOOK CLARE PALMER ADVANCES A THEORY THAT CLAIMS WITH RESPECT TO ASSISTING ANIMALS THAT WHAT IS OWED TO ONE IS NOT NECESSARILY OWED TO ALL EVEN IF ANIMALS SHARE SIMILAR PSYCHOLOGICAL CAPACITIES CONTEXT HISTORY AND RELATION CAN BE CRITICAL ETHICAL FACTORS IF ANIMALS LIVE INDEPENDENTLY IN THE WILD THEIR FATE IS NOT ANY OF OUR MORAL BUSINESS YET IF HUMANS CREATE DEPENDENT ANIMALS OR DESTROY THEIR HABITATS WE MAY HAVE A RESPONSIBILITY TO ASSIST THEM SUCH ARGUMENTS ARE FAMILIAR IN HUMAN CASES WE THINK THAT PARENTS HAVE SPECIAL OBLIGATIONS TO THEIR CHILDREN FOR EXAMPLE OR THAT SOME GROUPS OWE REPARATIONS TO OTHERS PALMER DEVELOPS SUCH RELATIONAL CONCERNS IN THE CONTEXT OF WILD ANIMALS DOMESTICATED ANIMALS AND URBAN SCAVENGERS ARGUING THAT DIFFERENT CONTEXTS CAN CREATE DIFFERENT MORAL RELATIONSHIPS

THE NORMATIVE ANIMAL?

2018

IT IS OFTEN CLAIMED THAT HUMANS ARE RATIONAL LINGUISTIC CULTURAL OR MORAL CREATURES WHAT THESE CHARACTERIZATIONS MAY ALL HAVE IN COMMON IS THE MORE FUNDAMENTAL CLAIM THAT HUMANS ARE NORMATIVE ANIMALS IN THE SENSE THAT THEY ARE CREATURES WHOSE LIVES ARE STRUCTURED AT A FUNDAMENTAL LEVEL BY THEIR RELATIONSHIPS TO NORMS THE VARIOUS CAPACITIES SINGLED OUT BY DISCUSSION OF RATIONAL LINGUISTIC CULTURAL OR MORAL ANIMALS MIGHT THEN ALL ESSENTIALLY INVOLVE AN ORIENTATION TO OBLIGATIONS PERMISSIONS AND PROHIBITIONS AND IF THIS IS SO THEN PERHAPS IT IS A BASIC SUSCEPTIBILITY OR PROCLIVITY TO NORMATIVE OR DEONTIC REGULATION OF THOUGHT AND BEHAVIOR THAT ENABLES HUMANS TO DEVELOP THE VARIOUS SPECIFIC FEATURES OF THEIR LIFE FORM THIS VOLUME OF NEW ESSAYS INVESTIGATES THE CLAIM THAT HUMANS ARE ESSENTIALLY NORMATIVE ANIMALS IN THIS SENSE THE CONTRIBUTORS DO SO BY LOOKING AT THE NATURE AND RELATIONS OF THREE TYPES OF NORMS OR PUTATIVE NORMS SOCIAL MORAL AND LINGUISTIC AND ASKING WHETHER THEY MIGHT ALL BE DIFFERENT EXPRESSIONS OF ONE BASIC STRUCTURE UNIQUE TO HUMANKIND THESE QUESTIONS ARE POSED BY PHILOSOPHERS PRIMATOLOGISTS BEHAVIORAL BIOLOGISTS PSYCHOLOGISTS LINGUISTS AND CULTURAL ANTHROPOLOGISTS WHO HAVE COLLABORATED ON THIS TOPIC FOR MANY YEARS THE CONTRIBUTORS ARE COMMITTED TO THE IDEA THAT UNDERSTANDING NORMATIVITY IS A TWO WAY PROCESS INVOLVING A CLOSE INTERACTION BETWEEN CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION AND EMPIRICAL RESEARCH

THE PHILOSOPHY OF ANIMAL RIGHTS

2002

HOW DO WE THINK ABOUT ANIMALS HOW DO WE DECIDE WHAT THEY DESERVE AND HOW WE OUGHT TO TREAT THEM SUBHUMAN TAKES AN INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH TO THESE QUESTIONS DRAWING FROM RESEARCH IN PHILOSOPHY NEUROSCIENCE PSYCHOLOGY LAW HISTORY SOCIOLOGY ECONOMICS AND ANTHROPOLOGY SUBHUMAN ARGUES THAT OUR ATTITUDES TO NONHUMAN ANIMALS BOTH POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE LARGELY ARISE FROM OUR NEED TO COMPARE OURSELVES TO THEM

SUBHUMAN

1972

FOOT AND MOUTH AND MAD COW DISEASE ARE BUT TWO OF THE RESULTS OF TREATING ANIMALS AS COMMODITIES SUBJECT ONLY TO COMMERCIAL CONSTRAINTS AND IGNORING ALL NATURAL AND MORAL CONSIDERATIONS CHICKENS HANGING BY THEIR NECKS ON CONVEYOR BELTS CAGED PIGS WITH SORES BLOATED DEAD SHEEP WITH THEIR LEGS IN THE AIR MUTILATED DOGS WAITING TO DIE AFTER UNDERGOING HORRENDOUS EXPERIMENTS IN THE NAME OF SCIENCE OR JUST PRODUCT TESTING THESE ARE SOME OF THE IMAGES THAT ILLUSTRATE THE INDIFFERENCE OF A CONSUMERIST SOCIETY TO THE SUFFERING OF ANIMALS FEW ARE WILLING TO RECOGNIZE THAT THE PACKAGED SANITIZED SUPERMARKET MEAT THAT MATERIALIZES ON THEIR DINNER TABLES EVERY DAY IS THE RESULT OF AN INDUSTRIAL PROCESS INVOLVING UNIMAGINABLE PAIN AND SUFFERING WE WOULD BE HORRIFIED IF OUR PETS WERE HARMED YET EVERY DAY WE EAT ANIMALS THAT HAVE BEEN TORTURED AND EXECUTED MARK ROWLANDS CLAIMS THAT IT IS SIMPLY UNJUST TO HARM ANIMALS AS CONSCIOUS SENTIENT BEINGS BIOLOGICALLY CONTINUOUS WITH HUMANS THEY HAVE INTERESTS THAT CANNOT SIMPLY BE DISREGARDED USING SIMPLE PRINCIPLES OF JUSTICE HE ARGUES THAT ANIMALS HAVE MORAL RIGHTS AND EXAMINES THE CONSEQUENCES OF THIS CLAIM IN THE CONTEXTS OF VEGETARIANISM ANIMAL EXPERIMENTATION ZOOS AND HUNTING AND ANIMAL RIGHTS ACTIVISM

ANIMALS LIKE US

1984

ARTIKLER OM MENNESKETS UDNYTTELSE AF DYR BL A VED VIVISEKTION TIL LUKSUS OG KOSMETIKBRANCHEN TIL JAGT OG TIL FØDEVAREINDUSTRIEN

ANIMALS, MEN, AND MORALS

2012-12-06

ANIMALS AND WHY THEY MATTER EXAMINES THE BARRIERS THAT OUR PHILOSOPHICAL TRADITIONS HAVE ERECTED BETWEEN HUMAN BEINGS AND ANIMALS AND REVEALS THAT THE TOO OFTEN RIDICULED SUBJECT OF ANIMAL RIGHTS IS AN ISSUE CRUCIALLY RELATED TO SUCH PROBLEMS WITHIN THE HUMAN COMMUNITY AS RACISM SEXISM AND AGE DISCRIMINATION MARY MIDGLEY S PROFOUND AND CLEARLY WRITTEN NARRATIVE IS A THOUGHT PROVOKING STUDY OF THE WAY IN WHICH THE OPPOSITION BETWEEN REASON AND EMOTION HAS SHAPED OUR MORAL AND POLITICAL IDEAS AND THE PROBLEMS IT HAS RAISED WHETHER CONSIDERING VEGETARIANISM WOMEN S RIGHTS OR THE HUMANITY OF PETS THIS BOOK GOES TO THE HEART OF THE QUESTION OF WHY ALL ANIMALS MATTER

ANIMALS AND WHY THEY MATTER

2005

THIS VOLUME IS A COLLECTION OF ESSAYS CONCERNED WITH THE MORALITY OF HUMAN TREATMENT OF NONHUMAN ANIMALS THE CONTRIBUTORS TAKE VERY DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO THEIR TOPICS AND COME TO WIDELY DIVERGENT CONCLUSIONS THE GOAL OF THE VOLUME AS A WHOLE IS TO SHED A BRIGHTER LIGHT UPON AN ASPECT OF HUMAN LIFE OUR RELATIONS WITH THE OTHER ANIMALS THAT HAS RECENTLY SEEN A GREAT INCREASE IN INTEREST AND IN THE GENERATION OF HEAT THE DISCUSSIONS AND DEBATES CONTAINED HEREIN ARE ADDRESSED BY THE CONTRIBUTORS TO EACH OTHER TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND TO THE ACADEMIC WORLD ESPECIALLY THE BIOLOGICAL PHILOSOPHICAL AND POLITICAL PARTS OF THAT WORLD THE ESSAYS ARE ORGANIZED INTO EIGHT SECTIONS BY TOPICS EACH SECTION BEGINNING WITH A BRIEF INTRODUCTION LINKING THE PAPERS AND THE SECTIONS TO ONE ANOTHER THERE IS ALSO A GENERAL INTRODUCTION AND AN EPILOG THAT SUGGESTS ALTERNATE POSSIBLE WAYS OF ORGANIZING THE MATERIAL THE FIRST TWO SECTIONS ARE CONCERNED WITH THE PLACE OF ANIMALS IN THE HUMAN WORLD SECTION I WITH THE WAYS HUMANS VIEW ANIMALS IN LITERATURE PHILOSOPHY AND OTHER PARTS OF HUMAN CULTURE AND SECTION II WITH THE PLACE OF ANIMALS IN HUMAN LEGAL AND MORAL COMMUNITY THE NEXT THREE SECTIONS CONCERN COMPARISONS BETWEEN HUMAN AND NONHUMAN ANIMALS SECTION III ON THE RIGHTS AND WRONGS OF KILLING SECTION IV ON THE HUMANITY OF ANIMALS AND THE ANIMALITY OF HUMANS AND SECTION V ON QUESTIONS OF THE CONFLICT OF HUMAN AND ANIMAL INTERESTS

ETHICS AND ANIMALS

1988-08-04

ANIMAL RIGHTS AND MORAL PHILOSOPHY EXAMINES THE MAJOR ARGUMENTS FOR ANIMAL RIGHTS PROPOSED TO DATE AND ADDS A NEW DIMENSION JULIAN H FRANKLIN BEGINS BY CONSIDERING THE UTILITARIAN ARGUMENT OF EQUAL RESPECT FOR ANIMALS ASSOCIATED WITH PETER SINGER AND THE RIGHTS APPROACH ADVANCED BY TOM REGAN DESPITE THEIR MERITS BOTH POSITIONS ARE FOUND TOO LIMITED AS THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR ANIMAL RIGHTS FRANKLIN FOLLOWS WITH A NEW INTERPRETATION OF KANT S CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE SHOWING THAT IT CAN BE EXPANDED TO PROVIDE THE BASIS OF A SYSTEM OF RIGHTS THAT INCLUDES ALL SENTIENT BEINGS HE ALSO SHOWS WHY OTHER FORMS OF RATIONALISM CANNOT BE SIMILARLY EXPANDED FRANKLIN THEN CRITICALLY DISCUSSES THE CONCERN FOR ANIMALS IN DOCTRINES OF COMPASSION INCLUDING THE ECOFEMINIST ETHIC OF CARE AND ALBERT SCHWEITZER S ETHIC OF REVERENCE FOR LIFE IN A CONCLUDING CHAPTER HE CONSIDERS THE CONFLICT BETWEEN THE RIGHTS OF ANIMALS AND HUMANS TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND REFLECTS ON POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS

ANIMAL RIGHTS AND MORAL PHILOSOPHY

2022-02-01

THIS AND THE AUTHOR S THREE PREVIOUS BOOKS ARE INTERRELATED IN THEIR NOTIONS OF PRACTICAL MORALITY AND EDUCATION AND THE COMMON CONCLUSION FOCUSES NOT ON MORAL DELINQUENCY OR INTRACTABILITY BUT RATHER ON THE HUMAN CAPACITY FOR IMPROVEMENT THROUGH APPROPRIATE EDUCATION

CRUELTY TO ANIMALS

2022

PASSIONATE ANIMALS EMOTIONS ANIMAL ETHICS AND MORAL PRAGMATICS DRAWS ON THE THEORETICAL ACHIEVEMENTS MADE IN ETHICS POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY AND HUMAN ANIMAL STUDIES ADDRESSING THE PROBLEM THAT THESE ADVANCEMENTS HAVE NOT RESULTED IN PRACTICAL CHANGE TOWARD SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVED HUMAN ANIMAL RELATIONS MARA DARIA COJOCARU ARGUES THAT THIS GAP BETWEEN THEORY AND ACTION CAN CLOSE ONLY IF HUMANS LIVE UP TO THE TASK OF BECOMING PASSIONATE ANIMALS THEMSELVES AND PASSIONATE ABOUT ANIMALS AS WELL IN THE TRADITION OF PHILOSOPHICAL PRAGMATISM AND WITH REFERENCE TO CONGENIAL THINKERS LIKE MARY MIDGLEY COJOCARU DEVELOPS A MORAL PRAGMATICS THAT HIGHLIGHTS THE ROLE OF EMOTIONS IN MORAL AND POLITICAL LIFE AND FOCUSES ON THE INSTITUTIONS NECESSARY TO MAKE TANGIBLE PROGRESS ON THE PROBLEMS POSED BY ANIMAL EXPERIMENTATION AND FACTORY FARMING

PASSIONATE ANIMALS

2003-12-24

I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE MORAL ASPECT OF HUMAN NATURE FOR SEVERAL DECADES SEVERAL YEARS AGO AFTER PUBLISHING THE ORIGINS OF MORALITY AN EDITOR FROM OXFORD PRESS SUGGESTED THAT I WRITE UP THE THEORY AND RESEARCH I REVIEWED IN THIS ACADEMIC BOOK IN A MANNER THAT WOULD BE ACCESSIBLE TO PEOPLE WITH RELATIVELY LITTLE BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE IN THE AREA A FEW YEARS LATER I LAUNCHED THIS PROJECT WHICH ENDED UP IN THIS BOOK IN IT I TRACE THE GROWN OF MY UNDERSTANDING OF MORALITY

SURVIVAL OF THE VIRTUOUS

2003-11-22

HOW MUCH DO ANIMALS MATTER MORALLY CAN WE KEEP CONSIDERING THEM AS SECOND CLASS BEINGS TO BE USED MERELY FOR OUR BENEFIT OR SHOULD WE OFFER THEM SOME FORM OF MORAL EGALITARIANISM INSERTING ITSELF INTO THE PASSIONATE DEBATE OVER ANIMAL RIGHTS THIS FASCINATING PROVOCATIVE WORK BY RENOWNED SCHOLAR PAOLA CAVALIERI ADVANCES A RADICAL

2023-08-25

13/16

PROPOSAL THAT WE EXTEND BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS TO THE NONHUMAN ANIMALS WE CURRENTLY TREAT AS THINGS CAVALIERI FIRST GOES BACK IN TIME TRACING THE ROOTS OF THE DEBATE FROM THE 1970S THEN EXPLORES NOT ONLY THE ETHICAL BUT ALSO THE SCIENTIFIC VIEWPOINTS EXAMINING THE DEBATE S PRECEDENTS IN MAINSTREAM WESTERN PHILOSOPHY SHE CONSIDERS THE MAIN PROPOSALS OF REFORM THAT RECENTLY HAVE BEEN ADVANCED WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF TODAY S PREVAILING ETHICAL PERSPECTIVES ARE THESE PROPOSALS SATISFYING CAVALIERI SAYS NO CLAIMING THAT IT IS NECESSARY TO GO BEYOND THE TRADITIONAL OPPOSITION BETWEEN UTILITARIANISM AND KANTIANISM AND FOCUS ON THE QUESTION OF FUNDAMENTAL MORAL PROTECTION IN THE CASE OF HUMAN BEINGS SUCH PROTECTION IS GRANTED WITHIN THE WIDELY SHARED MORAL DOCTRINE OF UNIVERSAL HUMAN RIGHTS THEORY CAVALIERI ARGUES THAT IF WE EXAMINE CLOSELY THIS THEORY WE WILL DISCOVER THAT ITS VERY LOGIC EXTENDS TO NONHUMAN ANIMALS AS BEINGS WHO ARE OWED BASIC MORAL AND LEGAL RIGHTS AND THAT AS A RESULT HUMAN RIGHTS ARE NOT HUMAN AFTER ALL

THE ANIMAL QUESTION : WHY NONHUMAN ANIMALS DESERVE HUMAN RIGHTS

2005-09-02

REGAN PROVIDES THE THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK THAT GROUNDS A RESPONSIBLE PRO ANIMAL RIGHTS PERSPECTIVE AND ULTIMATELY EXPLORES HOW ASKING MORAL QUESTIONS ABOUT OTHER ANIMALS CAN LEAD TO A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF OURSELVES

ANIMAL RIGHTS, HUMAN WRONGS

2015-09-15

THIS BOOK IS AN ATTEMPT TO LEAD THE WAY THROUGH THE MORAL MAZE THAT IS OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH NONHUMAN ANIMALS WRITTEN BY AN AUTHOR WITH AN ESTABLISHED REPUTATION IN THIS FIELD THE BOOK TAKES THE READER STEP BY STEP THROUGH THE MAIN PARAMETERS OF THE DEBATE DEMONSTRATING AT EACH TURN THE DIFFERENT POSITIONS ADOPTED IN THE SECOND PART OF THE BOOK THE IMPLICATIONS OF HOLDING EACH POSITION FOR THE ETHICAL PERMISSIBILITY OF WHAT IS DONE TO ANIMALS IN LABORATORIES FARMS THE HOME AND THE WILD ARE EXPLAINED GARNER STARTS BY ASKING WHETHER ANIMALS HAVE ANY MORAL STANDING BEFORE MOVING ON TO ASSESS EXACTLY WHAT DEGREE OF MORAL STATUS OUGHT TO BE ACCORDED TO THEM IT IS SUGGESTED THAT WHILST ANIMALS SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED THE SAME MORAL STATUS AS HUMANS THEY ARE WORTHY OF GREATER MORAL CONSIDERATION THAN THE ORTHODOX ANIMAL WELFARE POSITION ALLOWS AS A RESULT IT IS SUGGESTED THAT MANY OF THE WAYS WE CURRENTLY TREAT ANIMALS ARE MORALLY ILLEGITIMATE IN THE FINAL CHAPTER THE ISSUE OF POLITICAL PRAXIS IS TACKLED HOW ARE REFORMS TO THE WAYS IN WHICH ANIMALS ARE TREATED TO BE ACHIEVED THIS BOOK SUGGESTS THAT CURRENTLY DOMINANT DEBATES ABOUT INSIDER STATUS AND DIRECT ACTION ARE LESS IMPORTANT THAN THE QUESTION OF AGENCY THAT IS THE IMPORTANT QUESTION IS NOT WHAT IS DONE TO CHANGE THE WAY ANIMALS ARE TREATED AS MUCH AS WHOM IS TO BE MOBILISED TO JOIN THE CAUSE STUDENTS OF PHILOSOPHY POLITICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES WILL FIND THIS AN ESSENTIAL TEXTBOOK

ANIMAL ETHICS

1998

RECEIVED OPINION HAS IT THAT HUMANS ARE MORALLY SUPERIOR TO NON HUMAN ANIMALS HUMAN INTERESTS MATTER MORE THAN THE LIKE INTERESTS OF ANIMALS AND THE VALUE OF HUMAN LIVES IS ALLEGED TO BE GREATER THAN THE VALUE OF NONHUMAN ANIMAL LIVES SINCE THIS BELIEF CAUSES MAYHEM AND MURDER ITS DE MYTHOLOGIZING REQUIRES URGENT ATTENTION

THE MORAL EQUALITY OF HUMANS AND ANIMALS

1994-04

THIS BOOK TELLS THE INSPIRING STORY OF A LIFELONG ACTIVIST WHOSE CREATIVITY AND CAREFUL THOUGHT SET THE STANDARD FOR THE ANIMAL RIGHTS MOVEMENT IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

ETHICS INTO ACTION

1999-04-14

INCLUDES 323 CITATIONS IN ENGLISH REGARDING ETHICAL MORAL BIOETHICS AND PHILOSOPHICAL ISSUES RELATED TO ANIMALS EACH CITATION INCLUDES COMPLETE BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA COVERS LITERATURE FROM JANUARY 1986 THROUGH FEBRUARY 1993 AUTHOR AND SUBJECT INDICES

ETHICAL AND MORAL ISSUES RELATING TO ANIMALS

2008

GARY STEINER ARGUES THAT ETHOLOGISTS AND PHILOSOPHERS IN THE ANALYTIC AND CONTINENTAL TRADITIONS HAVE LARGELY FAILED TO ADVANCE AN ADEQUATE EXPLANATION OF ANIMAL BEHAVIOR CRITICALLY ENGAGING THE POSITIONS OF MARC HAUSER DANIEL DENNETT DONALD DAVIDSON JOHN SEARLE MARTIN HEIDEGGER AND HANS GEORG GADAMER AMONG OTHERS STEINER SHOWS HOW THE WESTERN PHILOSOPHICAL TRADITION HAS FORCED ANIMALS INTO HUMAN EXPERIENTIAL CATEGORIES IN ORDER TO MAKE SENSE OF THEIR COGNITIVE ABILITIES AND MORAL STATUS AND HOW DESPERATELY WE NEED A NEW APPROACH TO ANIMAL RIGHTS STEINER REJECTS THE TRADITIONAL ASSUMPTION THAT A LACK OF FORMAL RATIONALITY CONFERS AN INFERIOR MORAL STATUS ON ANIMALS VIS \square VIS HUMAN BEINGS INSTEAD HE OFFERS AN ASSOCIATIONIST VIEW OF ANIMAL COGNITION IN WHICH ANIMALS GRASP AND ADAPT TO THEIR ENVIRONMENTS WITHOUT EMPLOYING CONCEPTS OR INTENTIONALITY STEINER CHALLENGES THE STANDARD ASSUMPTION OF LIBERAL INDIVIDUALISM ACCORDING TO WHICH HUMANS HAVE NO OBLIGATIONS OF JUSTICE TOWARD ANIMALS INSTEAD HE ADVOCATES A COSMIC HOLISM THAT ATTRIBUTES A MORAL STATUS TO ANIMALS EQUIVALENT TO THAT OF PEOPLE ARGUING FOR A RELATIONSHIP OF JUSTICE BETWEEN HUMANS AND NATURE STEINER EMPHASIZES OUR KINSHIP WITH ANIMALS AND THE FUNDAMENTAL MORAL OBLIGATIONS ENTAILED BY THIS KINSHIP

MAGPIES, MONKEYS, AND MORALS

ANIMALS AND THE MORAL COMMUNITY

- [ENTREPRENEURIAL SMALL BUSINESS 3RD EDITION FREE FULL PDF](#)
- [UPGRADING AND FIXING COMPUTERS DO IT YOURSELF FOR DUMMIES \(PDF\)](#)
- [CORELDRAW REVEIERS GUIDE COPY](#)
- [BRITISH IMPERIALISM INNOVATION AND EXPANSION 1688 1914 COPY](#)
- [PERCY JACKSON THE OLYMPIANS 5 EBOOKS \(DOWNLOAD ONLY\)](#)
- [LINUX CHAR DEVICE DRIVER A TEMPLATE LINUX DRIVER DEVELOPMENT \(2023\)](#)
- [LIFE OF JOHN RUSKIN \(2023\)](#)
- [LAS LLAMAS DEL DESTINO FILE TYPE FULL PDF](#)
- [CISCO 6921 PHONE USER GUIDE \(DOWNLOAD ONLY\)](#)
- [MANAGERIAL ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS STRATEGY 7TH EDITION FREE DOWNLOAD \(READ ONLY\)](#)
- [JUNE GEOGRAPHY MEMORANDUM PAPER 2013 GRADE 11 \(DOWNLOAD ONLY\)](#)
- [MUSIC THEORY WORKSHEETS FOR HIGH SCHOOL AJAXIB \(PDF\)](#)
- [MADE IN JAPAN BY AKIO MORITA FULL PDF](#)
- [HIGHS LOWS AND HYPOS THE DANNY SCULTHORPE STORY \(PDF\)](#)
- [RAMONA QUIMBY AGE 8 VOCABULARY BY CHAPTER COPY](#)
- [EUROPEAN HUMAN GENETICS CONFERENCE ESHG 2017 COPY](#)
- [NOTTURNO SENZA STELLE IL FILO AZZURRO COPY](#)
- [FULL PDF](#)
- [FULL VERSION SIMULATION MODELING AND ANALYSIS SOLUTION MANUAL \(2023\)](#)
- [PHOTOGRAPHY GUIDE FOR BEGINNERS \[PDF\]](#)
- [NEW FROM TCG BOOKS THE VERMONT PLAYS BY ANNIE BAKER \(PDF\)](#)
- [PSYCHSIM 5 HEMISPHERIC SPECIALIZATION ANSWER KEY \(PDF\)](#)
- [THE LAST GENERATION OF TRUTH COPY](#)